arXiv:1109.4992v3 [math.AG] 16 Mar 2012

GENERALIZED MARIÑO-VAFA FORMULA AND LOCAL GROMOV-WITTEN THEORY OF ORBI-CURVES

ZHENGYU ZONG

Abstract. We prove a generalized Mariño-Vafa formula for Hodge integrals over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma-\mu}(\mathcal{B}G)$ with G an arbitrary finite abelian group. This formula can be viewed as a formula for the one-leg orbifold Gromov-Witten vertex where the leg is effective. We will prove the orbifold Gromov-Witten/Donaldson-Thomas correspondence between our formula and the formula for the orbifold DT vertex in [4]. We will also use this formula to study the local Gromov-Witten theory of an orbi-curve with cyclic stack points in a Calabi-Yau three-orbifold.

1. Introduction

The Gromov-Witten/Donaldson-Thomas correspondence conjectured in [27, 28] states that the GW theory and the DT theory of a smooth 3-fold are equivalent after a change of variables. By the results in [27, 32], the correspondence for smooth toric 3-folds is equivalent to the algorithm of the topological vertex [2]. The GW/DT correspondence for smooth toric 3-folds is proved in [29]. For smooth toric Calabi-Yau 3-folds, the GW theory is obtained by gluing the GW vertex, a generating function of cubic Hodge integrals, and the DT theory is obtained by gluing the DT vertex, a generating function of 3d partitions. The GW/DT correspondence for smooth Calabi-Yau 3-folds can be reduced to the correspondence between the GW vertex and the DT vertex. The Mariño-Vafa formula, conjectured in [26] and proved in [21, 31], can be viewed as a formula for the framed 1-leg GW vertex; it implies the correspondence between the 1-leg GW vertex and the 1-leg DT vertex.

A vertex formalism for the orbifold DT theory (resp. orbifold GW theory) of toric Calabi-Yau 3-orbifolds is established in [4] (resp. [33]). For toric Calabi-Yau 3-orbifolds, the orbifold GW theory is obtained by gluing the GW orbifold vertex, a generating function of cubic abelian Hurwitz-Hodge integrals, and the orbifold DT theory is obtained by gluing the DT orbifold vertex, a generating function of colored 3d partitions. J. Bryan showed the orbifold GW theory of the local footballs (computed in [15]) and the orbifold DT theory of the local footballs (computed in [4]) are equivalent after a change of variables [3]. It is natural to ask if the GW orbifold vertex and the DT orbifold vertex are equivalent after a change of variables.

In this paper, we prove a generalized Mariño-Vafa formula, which can be viewed as a formula for the framed 1-leg GW orbifold vertex where the leg is effective, and prove a GW/DT correspondence in this case. The correspondence for the 1-leg $\mathbb{Z}_2$ vertex is proved in [33]. We also use our generalized Mariño-Vafa formula to study the local Gromov-Witten theory of an orbi-curve with cyclic stack points in a Calabi-Yau three-orbifold.

1.1. **Mariño-Vafa formula for $\mathbb{Z}_a$.** Let $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma}(\mathcal{B}\mathbb{Z}_a)$ be the moduli space of stable maps to $\mathcal{B}\mathbb{Z}_a$ where $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \cdots, \gamma_n)$ is a vector of elements in $\mathbb{Z}_a$. Let U be the irreducible representation of $\mathbb{Z}_a$ given by

$$\phi^U : \mathbb{Z}_a \to \mathbb{C}^*, \phi^U(1) = e^{\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}{a}}$$

Then there is a corresponding Hodge bundle

$$E^U \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma}(\mathcal{B}\mathbb{Z}_a)$$

and the corresponding Hodge classes on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma}(\mathcal{B}\mathbb{Z}_a)$ are defined by Chern classes of E^U,

$$\lambda_i^U = c_i(E^U)$$

Similarly, for any irreducible representation R of $\mathbb{Z}_a$, we have a corresponding Hodge bundle E^R and Hodge classes λ_i^R. Let $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ be the moduli space of stable curves of genus g with n marked points and let ψ_i be the i^{th} descendent class on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Let

$$\epsilon : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma}(\mathcal{B}\mathbb{Z}_a) \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$$

be the canonical morphism. Then the descendent classes $\bar{\psi}_i$ on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ are defined by

$$\bar{\psi}_i = \epsilon^*(\psi_i)$$

Let

$$\Lambda_g^{\vee,R}(u) = u^{\operatorname{rk} E^R} - \lambda_1 u^{\operatorname{rk} E^R - 1} + \cdots + (-1)^{\operatorname{rk} E^R} \lambda_{\operatorname{rk} E^R}$$

where $\operatorname{rk} E^R$ is the rank of E^R determined by the orbifold Riemann-Roch formula.

Let d be a positive integer and let $\mu = (\mu_1 \geq \cdots \geq \mu_{l(\mu)} > 0)$ be a partition of $d > 0$ which means $|\mu| := \sum_{i=1}^{l(\mu)} \mu_i = d$. Now we require $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \cdots, \gamma_n)$ be a vector of *nontrivial* elements in $\mathbb{Z}_a$ and view μ as a vector of elements in $\mathbb{Z}_a$. Then for $\tau \in \mathbb{Z}$, we define $G_{g,\mu,\gamma}(\tau)_a$ as

$$\frac{\sqrt{-1}^{l(\mu)-|\mu|+2\sum_{i=1}^{l(\mu)}[\frac{\mu_i}{a}]}\tau^{l(\mu)-1}a^{l(\mu)-\sum_{i=1}^{l(\mu)}\delta_{0,\langle\frac{\mu_i}{a}\rangle}}}{|\operatorname{Aut}(\mu)||\operatorname{Aut}(\gamma)|}\prod_{i=1}^{l(\mu)}\frac{\prod_{l=1}^{[\frac{\mu_i}{a}]}(\mu_i\tau + l)}{[\frac{\mu_i}{a}]!}$$
$$\cdot\int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma-\mu}(\mathcal{B}\mathbb{Z}_a)}\frac{\left(-\frac{1}{a}(\tau+\frac{1}{a})\right)^{-\delta}\Lambda_g^{\vee,U}(\frac{1}{a})\Lambda_g^{\vee,U^\vee}(-\tau-\frac{1}{a})\Lambda_g^{\vee,1}(\tau)}{\prod_{i=1}^{l(\mu)}(1-\mu_i\bar{\psi}_i)}$$

where $\gamma - \mu$ denotes the vector $(\gamma_1, \cdots, \gamma_n, -\mu_1, \cdots, -\mu_{l(\mu)})$, $\bar{\psi}_i$ corresponds to μ_i, $U^\vee$ and 1 denote the dual of U and the trivial representation respectively, $[x]$ denotes the integer part of x, $\langle x\rangle = x - [x]$,

$$\delta_{0,x} = \begin{cases} 1, & x = 0, \\ 0, & x \neq 0, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\delta = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if all monodromies around loops on the domain curve are trivial} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Introduce formal variables $p = (p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n, \ldots)$, $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_{a-1})$ and define

$$p_\mu = p_{\mu_1} \cdots p_{\mu_{l(\mu)}}, x_\gamma = x_{\gamma_1} \cdots x_{\gamma_n}$$

for a partition μ. Define generating functions

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{\mu,\gamma}(\lambda;\tau)_a &= \sum_{g=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{2g-2+l(\mu)} G_{g,\mu,\gamma}(\tau)_a \\
G(\lambda;\tau;p;x)_a &= \sum_{\mu\neq\emptyset,\gamma} G_{\mu,\gamma}(\lambda;\tau)_a p_\mu x_\gamma = \sum_{\mu\neq\emptyset} G_\mu(\lambda;\tau;x)_a p_\mu = \sum_{\gamma} G_\gamma(\lambda;\tau;p)_a x_\gamma \\
G^\bullet(\lambda;\tau;p;x)_a &= \exp(G(\lambda;\tau;p;x)_a) = \sum_{\mu,\gamma} G^\bullet_{\mu,\gamma}(\lambda;\tau)_a p_\mu x_\gamma = 1 + \sum_{\mu\neq\emptyset,\gamma} G^\bullet_{\mu,\gamma}(\lambda;\tau)_a p_\mu x_\gamma \\
&= 1 + \sum_{\mu\neq\emptyset} G^\bullet_\mu(\lambda;\tau;x)_a p_\mu \\
G^\bullet_{\mu,\gamma}(\lambda;\tau)_a &= \sum_{\chi\in 2\mathbb{Z},\chi\leq 2l(\mu)} \lambda^{-\chi+l(\mu)} G^\bullet_{\chi,\mu,\gamma}(\tau)_a
\end{aligned}$$

Our $G(\lambda;\tau;p;x)_a$ corresponds to the framed 1-leg orbifold Gromov-Witten vertex where the leg is effective. In this paper, we will prove the orbifold GW/DT correspondence between our GW vertex $G(\lambda;0;p;x)_a$ and the 1-leg DT vertex $V^a_{\nu\emptyset\emptyset}(q,q_1,\cdots,q_{a-1})$ which appears in Example 4.2 in [4].

More precisely, let $V'^a_{\nu\emptyset\emptyset}(q,q_1,\cdots,q_{a-1}) = \frac{V^a_{\nu\emptyset\emptyset}(q,q_1,\cdots,q_{a-1})}{V^a_{\emptyset\emptyset\emptyset}(q,q_1,\cdots,q_{a-1})}$ be the corresponding reduced DT vertex. Then we have the following theorem:

Theorem 2.1: Under the change of variables $q=-e^{\sqrt{-1}\lambda}, q_l = \xi_a^{-1} e^{-\sum_{i=1}^{a-1}\frac{\omega_a^{-2il}}{a}(\omega_a^i-\omega_a^{-i})x_i}, l = 1,\cdots,a-1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
G^\bullet_\mu(\lambda;0;x)_a \quad = \quad & \sum_{|\nu|=|\mu|} (-1)^{A_\nu(0,a)} q^{\frac{|\mu|}{2}+A_\nu(0,a)} q_1^{-\frac{d}{a}+A_\nu(1,a)-A_\nu(0,a)} \cdots q_{a-1}^{-\frac{d(a-1)}{a}+A_\nu(a-1,a)-A_\nu(0,a)} \\
& V'^a_{\nu\emptyset\emptyset}(-q,q_1,\cdots,q_{a-1})\frac{\chi_\nu(\mu)}{z_\mu}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\xi_a = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{a}}, \omega_a = e^{\frac{\pi i}{a}}$ and $z_\mu = |\operatorname{Aut}(\mu)|\mu_1\cdots\mu_{l(\mu)}$.

For a partition μ, χ_μ denotes the character of the irreducible representation of S_d indexed by μ, where $d = |\mu| = \sum_{i=1}^{l(\mu)}\mu_i$. Let

$$\kappa_\mu = |\mu| + \sum_i (\mu_i^2 - 2i\mu_i).$$

Then under the above change of variables, let

$$R^\bullet_\mu(\lambda;\tau;x)_a \quad = \quad \sum_{|\nu|=|\mu|} (q^{\frac{1}{2}} q_1^{-\frac{1}{a}} \cdots q_{a-1}^{-\frac{a-1}{a}})^{|\nu|} \sum_{|\xi|=|\nu|} s_{\xi'}(-q_\bullet)\chi_\xi(\nu)\Phi^\bullet_{\nu,\mu}(\sqrt{-1}\lambda\tau)$$

where

$$\Phi^\bullet_{\nu,\mu}(\lambda) = \sum_\eta \frac{\chi_\eta(C(\nu))}{z_\nu}\frac{\chi_\eta(C_(\mu))}{z_\mu} e^{\frac{\kappa_\eta\lambda}{2}}$$

$s_{\xi'}$ is the Schur polynomial corresponding to the conjugate of ξ, $-q_\bullet = (-Q,-Qq_{a-1},\cdots,-Qq_1\cdots q_{a-1})$ and $-Q = (1,-q,(-q)^2,(-q)^3,\cdots)$.

$\Phi^\bullet_{\nu,\mu}(\lambda)$ satisfies the following properties (see [22])

$$\Phi^\bullet_{\nu,\mu}(\lambda_1+\lambda_2) = \sum_\sigma \Phi^\bullet_{\nu,\sigma}(\lambda_1)\cdot z_\sigma \cdot \Phi^\bullet_{\sigma,\mu}(\lambda_2), \tag{1}$$

$$\Phi^\bullet_{\nu,\mu}(0) = \frac{1}{z_\nu}\delta_{\nu,\mu}. \tag{2}$$

By (1),(2) we have

$$R^\bullet_\mu(\lambda;0;x)_a \quad = \quad (q^{\frac{1}{2}} q_1^{-\frac{1}{a}} \cdots q_{a-1}^{-\frac{a-1}{a}})^{|\mu|} \sum_{|\nu|=|\mu|} s_{\nu'}(-q_\bullet)\frac{\chi_\nu(\mu)}{z_\mu}$$

$$R^\bullet_\mu(\lambda;\tau;x)_a = \sum_{|\nu|=|\mu|} R^\bullet_\nu(\lambda;0;x)_a z_\nu \Phi^\bullet_{\nu,\mu}(\sqrt{-1}\lambda\tau) \tag{3}$$

We also define generating functions

$$\begin{aligned} R^\bullet(\lambda;\tau;p;x)_a &= \sum_{\mu,\gamma} R^\bullet_\mu(\lambda;\tau;x)_a p_\mu \\ R(\lambda;\tau;p;x)_a &= \log R^\bullet(\lambda;\tau;p;x)_a = \sum_{\mu\neq\emptyset,\gamma} R_{\mu,\gamma}(\lambda;\tau)_a p_\mu x_\gamma \\ &= \sum_{\mu\neq\emptyset} R_\mu(\lambda;\tau;x)_a p_\mu = \sum_{\gamma} R_\gamma(\lambda;\tau;p)_a x_\gamma \end{aligned}$$

The Mariño-Vafa formula for $\mathbb{Z}_a$ is the following theorem

Theorem 1 (Mariño-Vafa formula for $\mathbb{Z}_a$)**.**

$$G(\lambda;\tau;p;q)_a = R(\lambda;\tau;p;x)_a$$

When $a = 1$ (and hence $x = \emptyset$),

$$\begin{aligned} R^\bullet_\mu(\lambda;0;\emptyset)_a &= (q^{\frac{1}{2}})^{|\mu|} \sum_{|\nu|=|\mu|} s_{\nu'}((1,-q,(-q)^2,(-q)^3,\cdots)\frac{\chi_\nu(\mu)}{z_\mu} \\ &= \sum_{|\nu|=|\mu|} \frac{\chi_\nu(\mu)}{z_\mu} e^{\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{4}\kappa_\nu\lambda} V_\nu(\lambda) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} V_\nu(\lambda) &= \prod_{1\leq a<b\leq l(\nu)} \frac{\sin[(\nu_a-\nu_b+b-a)\lambda/2]}{\sin[(b-a)\lambda/2]} \\ &\quad \cdot \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^{l(\nu)}\prod_{v=1}^{\nu_i} 2\sin[(v-i+l(\nu))\lambda/2]}. \end{aligned}$$

$V_\nu(\lambda)$ has an interpretation in terms of quantum dimensions (see [21] and [31])

$$V_\nu(\lambda) = \sqrt{-1}^{|\nu|}\frac{\dim_q R_\nu}{|\nu|!} = \frac{\sqrt{-1}^{|\nu|}}{\prod_{x\in\nu}(q^{h(x)/2}-q^{-h(x)/2})}$$

where $q = e^{\sqrt{-1}\lambda}$, $\dim_q R_\nu$ is the quantum dimension of the irreducible representation of S_d index by ν, the product is over all the boxes x in the Young diagram associated to the partition ν and $h(x)$ is the hook length of the box x. In this case, Theorem 1 is the original Mariño-Vafa formula in [21] and [31]. In [19], $G(\lambda;\tau;p;\emptyset)_1$ and $R(\lambda;\tau;p;\emptyset)_1$ are denoted by $G(\lambda;\tau;p)$ and $R(\lambda;\tau;p)$ respectively.

In section 3, we will define a generating function $K^\bullet_\mu(\lambda;x)$ of relative Gromov-Witten invariants of $(\mathbb{P}^1_a,\infty)$. In section 4, we will prove the following Theorem which gives Theorem 1

Theorem 2.

$$K^\bullet_\mu(\lambda;x) = \sum_{|\nu|=|\mu|} G^\bullet_\nu(\lambda;\tau;x)_a z_\nu \Phi^\bullet_{\nu,\mu}(-\sqrt{-1}\tau\lambda)$$

By (1),(2), Theorem 2 is equivalent to

$$G^\bullet_\mu(\lambda;\tau;x)_a = \sum_{|\nu|=|\mu|} K^\bullet_\nu(\lambda;x) z_\nu \Phi^\bullet_{\nu,\mu}(\sqrt{-1}\tau\lambda), \quad G^\bullet_\mu(\lambda;0;x)_a = K^\bullet_\mu(\lambda;x)$$

So Theorem 1 follows from (3) and the initial condition $G^\bullet_\mu(\lambda;0;x)_a = R^\bullet_\mu(\lambda;0;x)_a$.

1.2. **Abelian group G.** Let G be an finite abelian group and let R be an irreducible representation

$$\phi^R : G \to \mathbb{C}^*$$

with associated short exact sequence

$$0 \to K \to G \xrightarrow{\phi^R} \mathrm{Im}(\phi^R) \cong \mathbb{Z}_a \to 0$$

Let $\bar\mu = (\bar\mu_1, \cdots, \bar\mu_{l(\bar\mu)})$ be a vector of elements in G such that

$$\phi^R(\bar\mu) = \mu$$

Here we view μ as a vector of elements in $\mathbb{Z}_a$. Let $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \cdots, \gamma_n)$ be a vector of elements in G such that $\phi^R(\gamma)$ is a vector of *nontrivial* elements in $\mathbb{Z}_a$. Then we define $G_{g,\mu,\gamma}(\tau)_{a,\phi^R}$ as

$$\frac{\sqrt{-1}^{l(\mu)-|\mu|+2\sum_{i=1}^{l(\mu)}[\frac{\mu_i}{a}]}\tau^{l(\mu)-1}a^{l(\mu)-\sum_{i=1}^{l(\mu)}\delta_{0,\langle\frac{\mu_i}{a}\rangle}}}{|\operatorname{Aut}(\mu)||\operatorname{Aut}(\gamma)|} \prod_{i=1}^{l(\mu)} \frac{\prod_{l=1}^{[\frac{\mu_i}{a}]}(\mu_i\tau + l)}{[\frac{\mu_i}{a}]!}$$
$$\cdot \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma-\bar\mu}(\mathcal{B}G)} \frac{\left(-\frac{1}{a}(\tau+\frac{1}{a})\right)^{-\delta_K} \Lambda_g^{\vee,R}(\frac{1}{a})\Lambda_g^{\vee,R^\vee}(-\tau-\frac{1}{a})\Lambda_g^{\vee,1}(\tau)}{\prod_{i=1}^{l(\mu)}(1-\mu_i\bar\psi_i)}$$

where

$$\delta_K = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if all monodromies around loops on the domain curve are contained in } K \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In the definition of $G_{g,\mu,\gamma}(\tau)_{a,\phi^R}$, we have some freedoms of choosing $\bar\mu$ and we set the following requirements:

(1) If $\sum_{i=1}^n \phi^R(\gamma_i) - \sum_{j=1}^{l(\mu)} \mu_j = 0$, then $\sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i - \sum_{j=1}^{l(\mu)} \bar\mu_j \in K$. So we can choose $\bar\mu$ such that $\phi^R(\bar\mu) = \mu$ still holds and $\sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i - \sum_{j=1}^{l(\mu)} \bar\mu_j = 0$.

(2) If $\sum_{i=1}^n \phi^R(\gamma_i) - \sum_{j=1}^{l(\mu)} \mu_j \neq 0$, then both $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma-\bar\mu}(\mathcal{B}G)$ and $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\phi^R(\gamma)-\mu}(\mathcal{B}\mathbb{Z}_a)$ are empty and we choose $\bar\mu$ arbitrarily.

We also define generating functions

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\mu,\gamma}(\lambda;\tau)_{a,\phi^R} &= \sum_{g=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{2g-2+l(\mu)} G_{g,\mu,\gamma}(\tau)_{a,\phi^R} \\ G_\gamma(\lambda;\tau;p)_{a,\phi^R} &= \sum_{\mu\neq\emptyset} G_{\mu,\gamma}(\lambda;\tau)_{a,\phi^R} p_\mu \end{aligned}$$

The Mariño-Vafa formula for G is the following theorem

Theorem 3 (Mariño-Vafa formula for G)**.**

$$G_\gamma(\lambda;\tau;p)_{a,\phi^R} = |K| R_{\phi^R(\gamma)}(|K|\lambda;\tau;\frac{p}{|K|})_a$$

where $\frac{p}{|K|}$ *denotes the formal variables* $(\frac{p_1}{|K|}, \frac{p_2}{|K|}, \ldots, \frac{p_n}{|K|}, \ldots)$

1.3. **The local Gromov-Witten theory of orbi-curves.** Let $(X, p_1, \cdots, p_r, q_1, \cdots, q_s)$ be a fixed non-singular genus g orbi-curve with stack points $p_1, \cdots, p_r$ of orders $a_1, \cdots, a_r$ and with ordinary points $q_1, \cdots, q_s$. Let $\alpha^1, \cdots, \alpha^s$ be partitions of $d > 0$. Let $\gamma^1, \cdots, \gamma^r$ be vectors of nontrivial elements in $\mathbb{Z}_{a_1}, \cdots, \mathbb{Z}_{a_r}$ respectively. When $a_1 = \cdots = a_r = 1$, the *relative local invariants* $Z_d^b(g)_{\overrightarrow{\alpha}}$ of X is defined in [6]. This local theory is called *local Calabi-Yau theory* in [7] because the obstruction bundle in the definition of $Z_d^b(g)_{\overrightarrow{\alpha}}$ is a (non-compact) Calabi-Yau threefold. In [7], the local Gromov-Witten theory of ordinary curves is solved without imposing the Calabi-Yau condition on the obstruction bundle.

We will define the *relative local invariants* $Z_d^{b,\gamma}(g)_{\overrightarrow{\alpha},\overrightarrow{a}}$ of $(X, p_1, \cdots, p_r, q_1, \cdots, q_s)$ similar to that in [6], where $\overrightarrow{a} = (a_1, \cdots, a_r)$ and $\gamma = \gamma^1 + \cdots + \gamma^r$. Then to determine the relative local invariants of all $(X, p_1, \cdots, p_r, q_1, \cdots, q_s)$, we only need to determine $Z_d^{b,\gamma}(0)_{(\mu),(a)}$ because of the gluing law in [6]. Define the generating function

$$Z_d(g)(\lambda;x)_{\overrightarrow{\alpha},\overrightarrow{a}} = \sum_{b,\gamma} Z_d^{b,\gamma}(g)_{\overrightarrow{\alpha},\overrightarrow{a}} \lambda^b x_\gamma.$$

We will use the Mariño-Vafa formula for $\mathbb{Z}_a$ to calculate $Z_d(0)(\lambda;x)_{(\mu),(a)}$. The result is the following theorem:

Theorem 4.

$$\lambda^{-\frac{d}{a}} Z_d(0)(\lambda; \lambda^{\frac{1}{a}-1}x_1, \cdots, \lambda^{\frac{a-1}{a}-1}x_{a-1})_{(\mu),(a)} = \sqrt{-1}^{d-l(\mu)} (q^{\frac{1}{2}} q_1^{-\frac{1}{a}} \cdots q_{a-1}^{-\frac{a-1}{a}})^{|\mu|} \sum_{|\nu|=|\mu|} s_{\nu'}(-q_\bullet) \frac{\chi_\nu(\mu)}{z_\mu}$$

where the change of variables is given in Theorem 2.1.

1.4. **Acknowledgments.** I wish to express my deepest thanks to my advisor Chiu-Chu Melissa Liu. When I started this work, she helped me to find many important references, from which I learned the orbifold Gromov-Witten theory and the Mariño-Vafa formula. I have learned a lot of things from discussions with her. Her papers [19] [21] [22] guided me through the whole process of this work. She also helped me to learn some skills of Latex. This paper could not be possible without my advisor Chiu-Chu Melissa Liu. I also wish to thank Hsian-Hua Tseng and Jim Bryan for their helpful communications which are important for the work of the local Gromov-Witten theory of orbi-curves.

2. Initial Value and Orbifold Gromov-Witten/Donaldson-Thomas Correspondence

The original GW/DT correspondence is conjectured in [27] and [28], which states that the GW theory and the DT theory of a smooth 3-fold are equivalent after a change of variables. This conjecture is proven for smooth toric 3-folds in [29]. This result can be viewed as the equivalence of the GW theory and the DT theory of the non-orbifold topological vertex. In [4], the DT theory of the orbifold topological vertex is established. We will prove the orbifold GW/DT correspondence between our GW vertex $G(\lambda;0;p;x)_a$ and the one-leg DT vertex $V^a_{\nu\emptyset\emptyset}(q,q_1,\cdots,q_{a-1})$ which appears in Example 4.2 in [4]. When $a=2$, this correspondence is proven in [33].

In [4], the DT vertex $V^a_{\nu\emptyset\emptyset}(q,q_1,\cdots,q_{a-1})$ is expressed as

$$V^a_{\nu\emptyset\emptyset}(q,q_1,\cdots,q_{a-1}) = V^a_{\emptyset\emptyset\emptyset}(q,q_1,\cdots,q_{a-1})q^{-A_\nu(0,a)}q_1^{A_\nu(0,a)-A_\nu(1,a)}\cdots q_{a-1}^{A_\nu(0,a)-A_\nu(a-1,a)}s_{\nu'}(q_\bullet).$$

where $A_\nu(k,n)=\sum_{(i,j)\in\nu}[\frac{i+k}{n}]$, s'_ν is the Schur polynomial, ν' is the conjugate of ν and $q_\bullet=(Q,Qq_{a-1},\cdots,Qq_1\cdots q_{a-1}), Q=(1,q,q^2,q^3,\cdots)$. Let $V'^a_{\nu\emptyset\emptyset}(q,q_1,\cdots,q_{a-1}) = \frac{V^a_{\nu\emptyset\emptyset}(q,q_1,\cdots,q_{a-1})}{V^a_{\emptyset\emptyset\emptyset}(q,q_1,\cdots,q_{a-1})}$ be the corresponding reduced DT vertex. The following theorem gives the orbifold GW/DT correspondence between $G^\bullet_\mu(\lambda;0;x)_a$ and $V'^a_{\nu\emptyset\emptyset}(q,q_1,\cdots,q_{a-1})$.

Theorem 2.1. *Under the change of variables* $q=-e^{\sqrt{-1}\lambda}, q_l=\xi_a^{-1}e^{-\sum_{i=1}^{a-1}\frac{\omega_a^{-2il}}{a}(\omega_a^i-\omega_a^{-i})x_i}, l=1,\cdots,a-1$ *we have*

$$\begin{aligned} G^\bullet_\mu(\lambda;0;x)_a &= \sum_{|\nu|=|\mu|}(-1)^{A_\nu(0,a)}q^{\frac{|\mu|}{2}+A_\nu(0,a)}q_1^{-\frac{d}{a}+A_\nu(1,a)-A_\nu(0,a)}\cdots q_{a-1}^{-\frac{d(a-1)}{a}+A_\nu(a-1,a)-A_\nu(0,a)} \\ &\quad V'^a_{\nu\emptyset\emptyset}(-q,q_1,\cdots,q_{a-1})\frac{\chi_\nu(\mu)}{z_\mu} \end{aligned}$$

where $\xi_a=e^{\frac{2\pi i}{a}},\omega_a=e^{\frac{\pi i}{a}}$ *and* $z_\mu=|\operatorname{Aut}(\mu)|\mu_1\cdots\mu_{l(\mu)}$.

Proof. If $\tau=0$, then $G_{g,\mu,\gamma}(0)_a=0$ if $l(\mu)>1$. If $l(\mu)=1$, then the moduli space $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma-(d)}(\mathcal{B}\mathbb{Z}_a)$ is nonempty if and only if the parity condition

$$d=\sum_{i=1}^n\gamma_i(\mathrm{mod}\,a)$$

holds. So when $l(\mu)=1$ and $d=\sum_{i=1}^n\gamma_i(\mathrm{mod}\,a)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_{g,(d),\gamma}(0)_a &= \sqrt{-1}^{1-d+2[\frac{d}{a}]}a^{1-\delta_{0,\langle\frac{d}{a}\rangle}} \\ &\quad \frac{1}{|\operatorname{Aut}(\gamma)|}\int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma-(d)}(\mathcal{B}\mathbb{Z}_a)}\frac{\left(-\frac{1}{a^2}\right)^{-\delta}\Lambda_g^{\vee,U}(\frac{1}{a})\Lambda_g^{\vee,U^\vee}(-\frac{1}{a})\Lambda_g^{\vee,1}(0)}{1-d\bar\psi} \\ &= \sqrt{-1}^{1-d+2[\frac{d}{a}]}a^{1-\delta_{0,\langle\frac{d}{a}\rangle}} \\ &\quad \frac{1}{|\operatorname{Aut}(\gamma)|}\int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma-(d)}(\mathcal{B}\mathbb{Z}_a)}\frac{\frac{(-1)^{g-1+n-\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{\gamma_i}{a}+\langle\frac{d}{a}\rangle}}{a^{2g-1+n-\delta_{0,\langle\frac{d}{a}\rangle}}}(-1)^g\lambda_g}{1-d\bar\psi} \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{n-1}\sqrt{-1}^{1-d+2(\frac{d}{a}-\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{\gamma_i}{a})}}{a^{2g-2+n}} \\ &\quad \frac{1}{|\operatorname{Aut}(\gamma)|}\int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma-(d)}(\mathcal{B}\mathbb{Z}_a)}\frac{\lambda_g}{1-d\bar\psi} \end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{(-1)^{n-1}\sqrt{-1}^{1-d+2(\frac{d}{a}-\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{\gamma_i}{a})}}{a^{-1+n}} d^{2g-2+n} \\
&\quad \frac{1}{|\operatorname{Aut}(\gamma)|}\int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n+1}} \lambda_g \psi^{2g-2+n} \\
&= \frac{(-1)^{n-1}\sqrt{-1}^{1-d+2(\frac{d}{a}-\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{\gamma_i}{a})}}{a^{-1+n}} d^{2g-2+n} \\
&\quad \frac{1}{|\operatorname{Aut}(\gamma)|}\int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,1}} \lambda_g \psi^{2g-2}.
\end{aligned}
$$

where the second equality holds by Mumford's relation [5], the fourth equality holds by the fact that the degree of the map $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma-(d)}(\mathcal{B}\mathbb{Z}_a) \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n+1}$ is a^{2g-1} [14] and the last equality holds by the string equation.

So we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{(d),\gamma}(\lambda;0)_a &= \sum_{g=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{2g-1} G_{g,(d),\gamma}(0)_a \\
&= \frac{(-1)^{n-1}\sqrt{-1}^{1-d+2(\frac{d}{a}-\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{\gamma_i}{a})} d^{n-1}}{2a^{-1+n}\sin\frac{d\lambda}{2}|\operatorname{Aut}(\gamma)|}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the second equality holds by the fact (see [11])

$$
\sum_{g=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{2g} \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,1}} \lambda_g \psi^{2g-2} = \frac{\lambda/2}{\sin(\lambda/2)}
$$

So we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
G(\lambda;0;p;x)_a &= \sum_{d\geq 1, d=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\gamma_i (\mathrm{mod} a)} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}\sqrt{-1}^{1-d+2(\frac{d}{a}-\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{\gamma_i}{a})} d^{n-1}}{2a^{-1+n}\sin\frac{d\lambda}{2}|\operatorname{Aut}(\gamma)|} p_d x_\gamma \\
&= -\sum_{d\geq 1}\sum_{k_1,\cdots,k_{a-1}\geq 0}\sum_{l=0}^{a-1} \xi_a^{l(d-\sum_{j=1}^{a-1} jk_j)} \frac{\sqrt{-1}^{1-d+\frac{2d}{a}} p_d}{2d\sin\frac{d\lambda}{2}} \prod_{j=1}^{a-1} \frac{(-\frac{d}{a}\omega_a^{-j}x_j)^{k_j}}{k_j!} \\
&= -\sum_{d\geq 1}\sum_{k_1,\cdots,k_{a-1}\geq 0}\sum_{l=0}^{a-1} \xi_a^{ld} \frac{\sqrt{-1}^{1-d+\frac{2d}{a}} p_d}{2d\sin\frac{d\lambda}{2}} \prod_{j=1}^{a-1} \frac{(-\frac{d}{a}\omega_a^{-j}\xi_a^{-jl}x_j)^{k_j}}{k_j!}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $u_l = \exp(\sum_{j=1}^{a-1} -\frac{d}{a}\omega_a^{-j}\xi_a^{-jl}x_j), l = 0,\cdots,a-1$ and $p_d = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} y_i^d$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
G(\lambda;0;p;x)_a &= -\sum_{d\geq 1}\sum_{l=0}^{a-1} \frac{p_d}{d} \frac{(\sqrt{-1}^{-\frac{a-2}{a}}\xi_a^l u_l(-q)^{\frac{1}{2}})^d}{1-(-q)^d} \\
&= -\sum_{d\geq 1}\sum_{l=0}^{a-1}\sum_{i,j\geq 1} \frac{1}{d}(\sqrt{-1}^{-\frac{a-2}{a}}\xi_a^l u_l(-q)^{\frac{1}{2}} y_i)^d (-q)^{d(j-1)} \\
&= \log(\prod_{l=0}^{a-1}\prod_{i,j\geq 1}(1+\sqrt{-1}^{-\frac{a-2}{a}}\xi_a^l u_l(-q)^{\frac{1}{2}} y_i(-q)^j q^{-1})).
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $\frac{u_{l-1}}{u_l} = \xi_a q_l$ for $l = 1,\cdots,a-1$. So we have $u_l\xi_a^l = \frac{u_0}{q_1\cdots q_l}, l = 1,\cdots,a-1$. Also note that $u_0\cdots u_{a-1} = 1$. So $u_l\xi_a^l = \frac{1}{u_1\cdots u_{a-1}q_1\cdots q_l}$. By taking the product of this identity for $l = 1, \cdots, a-1$, we obtain

$$u_1 \cdots u_{a-1} = (-1)^{\frac{a-1}{a}} \frac{1}{q_1^{\frac{a-1}{a}} q_2^{\frac{a-2}{a}} \cdots q_{a-1}^{\frac{1}{a}}}.$$

Thus we have

$$u_l \xi_a^l = (-1)^{\frac{a-1}{a}} q_1^{-\frac{1}{a}} \cdots q_{a-1}^{-\frac{a-1}{a}} q_{l+1} \cdots q_{a-1}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} G(\lambda;0;p;x)_a &= \log\Big(\prod_{l=0}^{a-1} \prod_{i,j\geq 1} (1 + q^{\frac{1}{2}} q_1^{-\frac{1}{a}} \cdots q_{a-1}^{-\frac{a-1}{a}} q_{l+1} \cdots q_{a-1} (-q)^{j-1} y_i)\Big) \\ &= \log\Big(\sum_{d\geq 1} (q^{\frac{1}{2}} q_1^{-\frac{1}{a}} \cdots q_{a-1}^{-\frac{a-1}{a}})^d \sum_{|\nu|=d} s_{\nu'}(-q_\bullet) s_\nu(y)\Big) \\ &= \log\Big(\sum_{d\geq 1} (q^{\frac{1}{2}} q_1^{-\frac{1}{a}} \cdots q_{a-1}^{-\frac{a-1}{a}})^d \sum_{|\mu|=|\nu|=d} s_{\nu'}(-q_\bullet) \frac{\chi_\nu(\mu)}{z_\mu} p_\mu(y)\Big) \end{aligned}$$

where the second identity can be found in [25],

$$s_\nu(y) = \sum_{|\mu|=|\nu|} \frac{\chi_\nu(\mu)}{z_\mu} p_\mu(y)$$

is the Schur polynomial, $-q_\bullet = (-Q, -Qq_{a-1}, \cdots, -Qq_1 \cdots q_{a-1})$, $-Q = (1, -q, (-q)^2, (-q)^3, \cdots)$ and $z_\mu = |\operatorname{Aut}(\mu)| \mu_1 \cdots \mu_{l(\mu)}$. Recall that $G^\bullet(\lambda;0;p;x)_a = \exp(G(\lambda;0;p;x)_a) = 1 + \sum_{\mu\neq\emptyset} G^\bullet_\mu(\lambda;0;x)_a p_\mu$. So

$$\begin{aligned} G^\bullet_\mu(\lambda;0;x)_a &= (q^{\frac{1}{2}} q_1^{-\frac{1}{a}} \cdots q_{a-1}^{-\frac{a-1}{a}})^{|\mu|} \sum_{|\nu|=|\mu|} s_{\nu'}(-q_\bullet) \frac{\chi_\nu(\mu)}{z_\mu} \\ &= \sum_{|\nu|=|\mu|} (-1)^{A_\nu(0,a)} q^{\frac{|\mu|}{2} + A_\nu(0,a)} q_1^{-\frac{d}{a} + A_\nu(1,a) - A_\nu(0,a)} \cdots q_{a-1}^{-\frac{d(a-1)}{a} + A_\nu(a-1,a) - A_\nu(0,a)} \\ &\quad V'^a_{\nu\emptyset\emptyset}(-q, q_1, \cdots, q_{a-1}) \frac{\chi_\nu(\mu)}{z_\mu}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Recall that

$$R^\bullet_\mu(\lambda;0;x)_a = (q^{\frac{1}{2}} q_1^{-\frac{1}{a}} \cdots q_{a-1}^{-\frac{a-1}{a}})^{|\mu|} \sum_{|\nu|=|\mu|} s_{\nu'}(-q_\bullet) \frac{\chi_\nu(\mu)}{z_\mu}.$$

Therefore, the initial condition $G^\bullet_\mu(\lambda;0;x)_a = R^\bullet_\mu(\lambda;0;x)_a$ follows from Theorem 2.1 and the expression of $V'^a_{\nu\emptyset\emptyset}$.

3. Moduli Spaces of Relative Stable Morphisms

3.1. **Moduli spaces.** Fix an integer $a \geq 1$. Let $\mathbb{P}^1_a$ be the projective line $\mathbb{P}^1$ with root construction [8] of order a at 0. For an integer $m > 0$, let

$$\mathbb{P}^1_a[m] = \mathbb{P}^1_a \cup \mathbb{P}^1_{(1)} \cup \cdots \cup \mathbb{P}^1_{(m)}$$

be the union of $\mathbb{P}^1_a$ and a chain of m copies $\mathbb{P}^1$, where $\mathbb{P}^1_a$ is glued to $\mathbb{P}^1_{(1)}$ at $p_1^{(0)}$ and $\mathbb{P}^1_{(l)}$ is glued to $\mathbb{P}^1_{(l+1)}$ at $p_1^{(l)}$ for $1 \leq l \leq m-1$. The distinguished point on $\mathbb{P}^1(m)$

is $p_1^{(m)}$. We call the irreducible component $\mathbb{P}^1_a$ the root component and the other irreducible components the bubble components. Denote by $\pi[m] : \mathbb{P}^1_a[m] \to \mathbb{P}^1_a$ the map which is identity on the root component and contracts all the bubble components to $p_1^{(0)}$. Let

$$\mathbb{P}^1(m) = \mathbb{P}^1_{(1)} \cup \cdots \cup \mathbb{P}^1_{(m)}$$

denote the union of bubble components of $\mathbb{P}^1_a[m]$. For convenience, we set $\mathbb{P}^1_a[0] = \mathbb{P}^1_{(0)} = \mathbb{P}^1_a$.

Let $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \cdots, \gamma_n)$ be the vector of integers

$$1 \leq \gamma_i \leq a - 1$$

defining nontrivial elements $\gamma_i \in \mathbb{Z}_a$. Let $\mu = (\mu_1 \geq \cdots \geq \mu_{l(\mu)} > 0)$ be a partition of $d > 0$. Let $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma}(\mathbb{P}^1_a, \mu)$ be the moduli space of relative maps to $(\mathbb{P}^1_a, \infty)$. Then a point in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma}(\mathbb{P}^1_a, \mu)$ is of the form

$$[f : (C, x_1, \cdots, x_n, y_1, \cdots, y_{l(\mu)}) \to (\mathbb{P}^1_a, p_1^{(m)})]$$

such that

$$f^{-1}(p_1^{(m)}) = \sum_{i=1}^{l(\mu)} \mu_i y_i$$

as Cartier divisors. In order for the moduli space $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma}(\mathbb{P}^1_a, \mu)$ to be non-empty, we must have the parity condition

$$d = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_i (\mathrm{mod} a)$$

We will also consider the disconnected version $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^\bullet_{\chi,\gamma}(\mathbb{P}^1_a, \mu)$, where the domain curve C is allowed to be disconnected with $2(h^0(\mathcal{O}_c) - h^0(\mathcal{O}_c)) = \chi$.

Similarly, if we specify ramification types ν, μ over $0, \infty \in \mathbb{P}^1$, we can define the corresponding moduli spaces $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,0}(\mathbb{P}^1, \nu, \mu)$ and $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^\bullet_{\chi}(\mathbb{P}^1, \nu, \mu)$ of relative stable maps.

3.2. **Torus action.** Consider the $\mathbb{C}^*$-action

$$t \cdot [z^0 : z^1] = [tz^0 : z^1]$$

on $\mathbb{P}^1$. This action lifts canonically on $\mathbb{P}^1_a$. These induce actions on $\mathbb{P}^1[m]$ and on $\mathbb{P}^1_a[m]$ with trivial actions on the bubble components. These in turn induce actions on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma}(\mathbb{P}^1_a, \mu), \overline{\mathcal{M}}^\bullet_{\chi,\gamma}(\mathbb{P}^1_a, \mu), \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,0}(\mathbb{P}^1, \nu, \mu)$ and $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^\bullet_{\chi}(\mathbb{P}^1, \nu, \mu)$. Define the quotient space $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^\bullet_{\chi}(\mathbb{P}^1, \nu, \mu)//\mathbb{C}^*$ to be

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}}^\bullet_{\chi}(\mathbb{P}^1, \nu, \mu)//\mathbb{C}^* = (\overline{\mathcal{M}}^\bullet_{\chi}(\mathbb{P}^1, \nu, \mu) \setminus \overline{\mathcal{M}}^\bullet_{\chi}(\mathbb{P}^1, \nu, \mu)^{\mathbb{C}^*})/\mathbb{C}^*$$

3.3. **The branch morphism and double Hurwitz numbers.** Similar to the case of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma}(\mathbb{P}^1_a, \mu)$, a map $[f] \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}^\bullet_{\chi}(\mathbb{P}^1, \nu, \mu)$ has target of the form $\mathbb{P}^1[m_0, m_1]$, where $\mathbb{P}^1[m_0, m_1]$ is obtained by attaching $\mathbb{P}^1(m_0)$ and $\mathbb{P}^1(m_1)$ to $\mathbb{P}^1$ at 0 and ∞ respectively. The distinguished points on $\mathbb{P}^1[m_0, m_1]$ are $q^0_{m_0}$ and $q^1_{m_1}$. Let $\pi : [m_0, m_1] \to \mathbb{P}^1$ be the contraction to the root component. Let $r = -\chi + l(\nu) + l(\mu)$ be the virtual dimension of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^\bullet_{\chi}(\mathbb{P}^1, \nu, \mu)$. Then there is a branch morphism

$$\mathrm{Br} : \overline{\mathcal{M}}^\bullet_{\chi}(\mathbb{P}^1, \nu, \mu) \to \mathrm{Sym}^r \mathbb{P}^1$$

sending $[f : C \to \mathbb{P}^1[m_0, m_1]]$ to

$$\operatorname{div}(\tilde{f}) - (d - l(\nu))0 - (d - l(\mu))\infty$$

where $\operatorname{div}(\tilde{f})$ is the branch divisor of $\tilde{f} = \pi[m_0, m_1] \circ f : C \to \mathbb{P}^1$.

Recall that the disconnected double Hurwitz number is defined by

$$H^\bullet_{\chi,\nu,\mu} = \frac{1}{|\operatorname{Aut}(\nu)||\operatorname{Aut}(\mu)|} \int_{[\overline{\mathcal{M}}^\bullet_\chi(\mathbb{P}^1,\nu,\mu)]^{\mathrm{vir}}} \mathrm{Br}^*(H^r).$$

In [22], the following Proposition is proved

Proposition 3.1.

$$H^\bullet_{\chi,\nu,\mu} = \frac{r!}{|\operatorname{Aut}(\nu)||\operatorname{Aut}(\mu)|} \int_{[\overline{\mathcal{M}}^\bullet_\chi(\mathbb{P}^1,\nu,\mu)//\mathbb{C}^*]^{\mathrm{vir}}} (\psi^0)^{r-1}$$

where ψ^0 is the target ψ class, the first Chern class of the line bundle $\mathbb{L}_0$ over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^\bullet_\chi(\mathbb{P}^1, \nu, \mu)$ whose fiber at

$$[f : C \to \mathbb{P}^1[m_0, m_1]]$$

is the cotangent line $T^*_{q^0_{m_0}} \mathbb{P}^1[m_0, m_1]$.

The following Burnside formula will also be used (see [10])

Proposition 3.2 (Burnside formula)**.**

$$\Phi^\bullet_{\nu,\mu}(\lambda) = \sum_\chi \lambda^{-\chi+l(\mu)+l(\nu)} \frac{H^\bullet_{\chi,\nu,\mu}}{(-\chi + l(\mu) + l(\nu))!}$$

where $\Phi^\bullet_{\nu,\mu}(\lambda)$ is defined combinatorially in section 1.

3.4. **The obstruction bundle.** Let

$$\pi : \mathcal{U} \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}^\bullet_{\chi,\gamma}(\mathbb{P}^1_a, \mu)$$

be the universal domain curve and let $\mathcal{T}$ be the universal target. Then there is an evaluation map

$$F : \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{T}$$

and a contraction map

$$\tilde{\pi} : \mathcal{T} \to \mathbb{P}^1_a.$$

Let $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{U}$ be the divisor corresponding to the $l(\mu)$ marked points $y_1, \cdots, y_{l(\mu)}$. Define

$$\begin{aligned} V_D &= R^1\pi_*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}}(-\mathcal{D})) \\ V_{D_d} &= R^1\pi_*\tilde{F}^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1_a}(-p_0), \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{F} = \tilde{\pi} \circ F : \mathcal{U} \to \mathbb{P}^1_a, p_1 = \infty \in \mathbb{P}^1_a$ and p_0 is the stack point of $\mathbb{P}^1_a$. The fibers of V_D and V_{D_d} at

$$\left[f : (C, x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \cdots, y_{l(\mu)}) \to \mathbb{P}^1_a[m]\ \right] \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}^\bullet_{\chi,\gamma}(\mathbb{P}^1_a, \mu)$$

are $H^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C(-D))$ and $H^1(C, \tilde{f}^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1_a}(-p_0))$, respectively, where $D = y_1 + \ldots + y_{l(\mu)}$, and $\tilde{f} = \pi[m] \circ f$. It is easy to see that the rank of the obstruction bundle

$$V = V_D \oplus V_{D_d}$$

is equal to the virtual dimension of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^\bullet_{\chi,\gamma}(\mathbb{P}^1_a, \mu)$, which is $-\chi+n+l(\mu)+\frac{d}{a}-\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\gamma_i}{a}$

We lift the $\mathbb{C}^*$-action to the obstruction bundle V. It suffices to lift the $\mathbb{C}^*$-action on $\mathbb{P}^1_a$ to the line bundles $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1_a}(-p_0)$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1_a}$. Let the weights of the $\mathbb{C}^*$-action on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1_a}(-p_0)$ at p_0 and p_1 be $-\tau-\frac{1}{a}$ and $-\tau$, respectively and let the weights of the $\mathbb{C}^*$-action on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1_a}$ at p_0 and p_1 be τ and τ, respectively, where $\tau \in \mathbb{Z}$. In other words, if we write the obstruction bundle in the form of equivariant divisors, we have

$$\begin{aligned} V_D &= R^1\pi_*(\tilde{F}^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1_a}(\tau(ap_0-p_1))(-\mathcal{D})) \\ V_{D_d} &= R^1\pi_*\tilde{F}^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1_a}(-p_0+\tau(p_1-ap_0)), \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$K^\bullet_{\chi,\mu,\gamma} = \frac{1}{|\operatorname{Aut}(\mu)||\operatorname{Aut}(\gamma)|}\int_{[\overline{\mathcal{M}}^\bullet_{\chi,\gamma}(\mathbb{P}^1_a,\mu)]^{\mathrm{vir}}} e(V)$$

Then $K^\bullet_{\chi,\mu,\gamma}$ is a topological invariant. We will calculate $K^\bullet_{\chi,\mu,\gamma}$ in the next section by virtual localization. Define the generating function $K^\bullet_\mu(\lambda;x)$ to be

$$K^\bullet_\mu(\lambda;x) = \sqrt{-1}^{\,l(\mu)-d}\sum_\chi \lambda^{-\chi+l(\mu)} x_\gamma K^\bullet_{\chi,\mu,\gamma}$$

4. Virtual localization

In this section, we calculate $K^\bullet_{\chi,\mu,\gamma}$ by virtual localization. We will express $K^\bullet_{\chi,\mu,\gamma}$ in terms of one-partition Hodge integrals and double Hurwitz numbers. Then we can obtain Theorem 2 by Burnside formula.

4.1. **Fixed points.** The connected components of the $\mathbb{C}^*$ fixed points set of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^\bullet_{\chi,\gamma}(\mathbb{P}^1_a,\mu)$ are parameterized by labeled graphs. We first introduce some graph notations which are similar to those in [21].

Let

$$[f:(C,x_1,\dots,x_n,y_1,\cdots,y_{l(\mu)})\to\mathbb{P}^1_a[m]]\in\overline{\mathcal{M}}^\bullet_{\chi,\gamma}(\mathbb{P}^1_a,\mu)$$

be a fixed point of the $\mathbb{C}^*$-action. The restriction of the map

$$\tilde{f}=\pi[m]\circ f: C\to\mathbb{P}^1_a.$$

to an irreducible component of C is either a constant map to one of the $\mathbb{C}^*$ fixed points p_0,p_1 or a cover of $\mathbb{P}^1_a$ which is fully ramified over p_0 and p_1. We associate a labeled graph Γ to the $\mathbb{C}^*$ fixed point

$$\left[f:(C,x_1,\dots,x_n,y_1,\cdots,y_{l(\mu)})\to\mathbb{P}^1_a[m]\ \right]$$

as follows:

(1) We assign a vertex v to each connected component C_v of $\tilde{f}^{-1}(\{p_0,p_1\})$, a label $i(v)=i$ if $\tilde{f}(C_v)=p_i$, where $i=0,1$, and a label $g(v)$ which is the arithmetic genus of C_v (We define $g(v)=0$ if C_v is a point). For $i(v)=0$, we assign a set $n(v)$ of marked points on C_v. Denote by $V(\Gamma)^{(i)}$ the set of vertices with $i(v)=i$, where $i=0,1$. Then the set $V(\Gamma)$ of vertices of the graph Γ is a disjoint union of $V(\Gamma)^{(0)}$ and $V(\Gamma)^{(1)}$. For $v\in V(\Gamma)^{(0)}$ define

$$r_0(v)=\quad 2g(v)-2+\operatorname{val}(v)+n(v),\quad v\in V(\Gamma)^{(0)},$$

$$\begin{aligned}
V^I(\Gamma)^{(0)} &= \{v \in V(\Gamma)^{(0)} : g(v) = 0, \mathrm{val}(v) = 1, n(v) = 0\},\\
V^{I,I}(\Gamma)^{(0)} &= \{v \in V(\Gamma)^{(0)} : g(v) = 0, \mathrm{val}(v) = 1, n(v) = 1\},\\
V^{II}(\Gamma)^{(0)} &= \{v \in V(\Gamma)^{(0)} : g(v) = 0, \mathrm{val}(v) = 2, n(v) = 0\},\\
V^{S}(\Gamma)^{(0)} &= \{v \in V(\Gamma)^{(0)} : r_0(v) > 0\},
\end{aligned}$$

(2) We assign an edge e to each rational irreducible component C_e of C such that $\tilde{f}|_{C_e}$ is not a constant map. Let $d(e)$ be the degree of $\tilde{f}|_{C_e}$. Then $\tilde{f}|_{C_e}$ is fully ramified over p_0 and p_1. Let $E(\Gamma)$ denote the set of edges of Γ.

(3) The set of flags of Γ is given by

$$F(\Gamma) = \{(v, e) : v \in V(\Gamma), e \in E(\Gamma), C_v \cap C_e \neq \emptyset\}.$$

(4) For each $v \in V(\Gamma)$, define

$$d(v) = \sum_{(v,e)\in F(\Gamma)} d(e),$$

and let $\nu(v)$ be the partition of $d(v)$ determined by $\{d(e) : (v, e) \in F(\Gamma)\}$ and let ν be the partition of d determined by $\{d(e) : e \in E(\Gamma)\}$. When the target is $\mathbb{P}^1_a[m]$, where $m > 0$, we assign an additional label for each $v \in V(\Gamma)^{(1)}$: let $\mu(v)$ be the partition of $d(v)$ determined by the ramification of $f|_{C_v} : C_v \to \mathbb{P}^1_a(m)$ over $p_1^{(m)}$.

Note that for $v \in V(\Gamma)^{(1)}$, $\nu(v)$ coincides with the partition of $d(v)$ determined by the ramification of $f|_{C_v} : C_v \to \mathbb{P}^1_a(m)$ over $p_1^{(0)}$.

Let $\mathcal{M}_{\nu_i}$ be the moduli space of $\mathbb{C}^*$-fixed degree ν_i covers of $\mathbb{P}^1_a$ with stack structure given by $\nu_i(\mathrm{mod}a)$. Then the $\mathbb{C}^*$-fixed locus can be identified with

$$\bigsqcup_{\chi^0+\chi^1-2l(v)=\chi} (\overline{\mathcal{M}}^\bullet_{\chi^0,\gamma-\nu}(\mathcal{B}\mathbb{Z}_a) \times_{\bar{I}\mathcal{B}\mathbb{Z}_a^{l(\nu)}} \mathcal{M}_{\nu_1} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{M}_{\nu_{l(\nu)}} \times \overline{\mathcal{M}}^\bullet_{\chi^1}(\mathbb{P}^1, \nu, \mu)//\mathbb{C}^*)/\operatorname{Aut}(\nu)$$

where $\bar{I}\mathcal{B}\mathbb{Z}_a$ is the rigidified inertia stack of $\mathcal{B}\mathbb{Z}_a$. Therefore, we can calculate our integral over

$$\bigsqcup_{\chi^0+\chi^1-2l(v)=\chi} \overline{\mathcal{M}}^\bullet_{\chi^0,\gamma-\nu}(\mathcal{B}\mathbb{Z}_a) \times \overline{\mathcal{M}}^\bullet_{\chi^1}(\mathbb{P}^1, \nu, \mu)//\mathbb{C}^*$$

provided we include the following factor

$$\frac{1}{|\operatorname{Aut}(\nu)|} \prod_{i=1}^{l(\nu)} \frac{1}{\nu_i} \frac{a}{b_i}$$

where $b_i = \frac{a}{\gcd(a,\nu_i)}$ is the order of $\nu_i \in \mathbb{Z}_a$.

4.2. **Virtual Normal Bundle.** Let

$$[f : (C, x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \cdots, y_{l(\mu)}) \to \mathbb{P}^1_a[m]] \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}^\bullet_{\chi,\gamma}(\mathbb{P}^1_a, \mu)$$

be a fixed point of the $\mathbb{C}^*$-action associated to Γ and let $\tilde{f} = \pi[m] \circ f : C \to \mathbb{P}^1_a$. Let

$$B_1 = \mathrm{Ext}^0(\Omega_C(D + D'), \mathcal{O}_C), \quad B_2 = H^0(C, \tilde{f}^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1_a}(p_0)), \quad B_3 = \oplus_{l=0}^{m-1} H^0_{\mathrm{et}}(\mathbf{R}^\bullet_l),$$

$$B_4 = \mathrm{Ext}^1(\Omega_C(D + D'), \mathcal{O}_C), \quad B_5 = H^1(C, \tilde{f}^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1_a}(p_0)), \quad B_6 = \oplus_{l=0}^{m-1} H^1_{\mathrm{et}}(\mathbf{R}^\bullet_l).$$

where $D = y_1 + \cdots + y_{l(\mu)}, D' = x_1 + \cdots + x_n$ and

$$\begin{aligned} H^0_{\mathrm{et}}(\mathbf{R}^\bullet_l) &\cong \bigoplus_{q \in f^{-1}(p_1^{(l)})} T_q\left(f^{-1}(\mathbb{P}^1_{(l)})\right) \otimes T^*_q\left(f^{-1}(\mathbb{P}^1_{(l)})\right) \cong \mathbb{C}^{\oplus n_l}, \\ H^1_{\mathrm{et}}(\mathbf{R}^\bullet_l) &\cong (T_{p_1^{(l)}}\mathbb{P}^1_{(l)} \otimes T_{p_1^{(l)}}\mathbb{P}^1_{(l+1)})^{\oplus(n_l-1)}, \end{aligned}$$

where n_l is the number of nodes over $p_1^{(l)}$. Let $\tilde{B}_i$ denote the moving part of B_i under the $\mathbb{C}^*$-action. Then we have (see Appendix A in [21])

$$\frac{1}{e_T(N^{\mathrm{vir}}_\Gamma)} = \frac{e_T(\tilde{B}_1)e_T(\tilde{B}_5)e_T(\tilde{B}_6)}{e_T(\tilde{B}_2)e_T(\tilde{B}_4)}$$

where e_T denotes the $\mathbb{C}^*$-equivariant Euler class.

Note that $\tilde{B}_3 = 0$, and

$$\tilde{B}_6 = \begin{cases} 0, & m = 0, \\ H^1_{\mathrm{et}}(\mathrm{R}^\bullet_0) = (T_{p_1^{(0)}}\mathbb{P}^1_{(0)} \otimes T_{p_1^{(0)}}\mathbb{P}^1_{(1)})^{\oplus(n_0-1)}, & m > 0. \end{cases}$$

4.2.1. *The target is* $\mathbb{P}^1_a$. In this case,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{B}_1 &= \bigoplus_{v \in V^I(\Gamma)^{(0)}} \left(\frac{1}{d}\right) \\ \tilde{B}_4 &= \bigoplus_{v \in V^{II}(\Gamma)^{(0)}} \left(\sum_{(v,e)\in F(\Gamma)} \frac{\gcd(a, d(e))}{ad(e)}\right) \oplus \bigoplus_{v \in V^S(\Gamma)^{(0)}} \left(\bigoplus_{(v,e)\in F(\Gamma)} T_{q_{(v,e)}}C_v \otimes T_{q_{(v,e)}}C_e\right) \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{e_T(\tilde{B}_1)}{e_T(\tilde{B}_4)} &= \prod_{v \in V^I(\Gamma)^{(0)}} \frac{u}{d(v)} \prod_{v \in V^{II}(\Gamma)^{(0)}} \left(\sum_{(v,e)\in F(\Gamma)} \frac{\gcd(a, d(e))u}{ad(e)}\right)^{-1} \\ &\quad \cdot \prod_{v \in V^S(\Gamma)^{(0)}} \left(\prod_{(v,e)\in F(\Gamma)} \frac{1}{(\frac{u}{d(e)} - \bar{\psi}_{(v,e)})\frac{\gcd(a,d(e))}{a}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Consider the normalization sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\to \tilde{f}^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1_a}(p_0) \to \bigoplus_{v \in V^S(\Gamma)^{(0)}} (\tilde{f}|_{C_v})^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1_a}(p_0) \oplus \bigoplus_{e \in E(\Gamma)} (\tilde{f}|_{C_e})^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1_a}(p_0) \\ &\to \bigoplus_{v \in V^{II}(\Gamma)^{(0)}} (\tilde{f}|_{q_v})^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1_a}(p_0) \oplus \bigoplus_{v \in V^S(\Gamma)^{(0)}} \left(\bigoplus_{(v,e)\in F} (\tilde{f}|_{q_{(v,e)}})^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1_a}(p_0)\right) \to 0. \end{aligned}$$

where q_v and $q_{(v,e)}$ are the corresponding nodes. The corresponding long exact sequence reads

$$\begin{aligned}
0 \quad \to \quad & H^0(C, \tilde{f}^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1_a}(p_0)) \to \bigoplus_{v\in V^S(\Gamma)^{(0)}} H^0(C_v, (\tilde{f}|_{C_v})^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1_a}(p_0)) \oplus \bigoplus_{e\in E(\Gamma)} \left(\bigoplus_{l=0}^{[\frac{d(e)}{a}]} \left(\frac{l}{d(e)} \right) \right) \\
\to \quad & \bigoplus_{v\in V^{II}(\Gamma)^{(0)}} H^0(q_v, (\tilde{f}|_{q_v})^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1_a}(p_0)) \oplus \bigoplus_{v\in V^S(\Gamma)^{(0)}} \left(\bigoplus_{(v,e)\in F} H^0(q_{(v,e)}, (\tilde{f}|_{q_{(v,e)}})^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1_a}(p_0)) \right) \\
\to \quad & H^1(C, \tilde{f}^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1_a}(p_0)) \to \bigoplus_{v\in V^S(\Gamma)^{(0)}} H^1(C_v, (\tilde{f}|_{C_v})^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1_a}(p_0)) \to 0
\end{aligned}$$

The term $H^0(C_v, (\tilde{f}|_{C_v})^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1_a}(p_0))$ contributes 0 unless all monodromies around loops on C_v are trivial. The term $H^0(q_v, (\tilde{f}|_{q_v})^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1_a}(p_0))$ (resp. $H^0(q_{(v,e)}, (\tilde{f}|_{q_{(v,e)}})^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1_a}(p_0))$) contributes zero unless q_v (resp. $q_{(v,e)}$) is not a stack point. So

$$\frac{e_T(\tilde{B}_5)}{e_T(\tilde{B}_2)} = \prod_{v\in V(\Gamma)^{(0)}} \left(\Lambda^{\vee,U}_{g(v)}(\frac{u}{a})(\frac{u}{a})^{\sum_{(v,e)\in F(\Gamma)} \delta_{0,\langle \frac{d(e)}{a} \rangle} - \delta_v} \right) \prod_{e\in E(\Gamma)} \left(\frac{d(e)^{[\frac{d(e)}{a}]}}{[\frac{d(e)}{a}]!} u^{-[\frac{d(e)}{a}]} \right).$$

where $\langle x \rangle = x - [x]$ and

$$\delta_v = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if all monodromies around loops on } C_v \text{ are trivial} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

So we have the following Feynman rules:

$$\frac{1}{e_T(N^{\mathrm{vir}}_\Gamma)} = \prod_{v\in V(\Gamma)^0} A_v \prod_{e\in E(\Gamma)} A_e,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
A_v \quad &= \quad \begin{cases} \Lambda^{\vee,U}_{g(v)}(\frac{u}{a})(\frac{u}{a})^{-\delta_v} \prod_{(v,e)\in F(\Gamma)} \frac{(\frac{u}{a})^{\delta_{0,\langle \frac{d(e)}{a} \rangle}}}{(\frac{u}{d(e)} - \bar{\psi}_{(v,e)})^{\frac{\gcd(a,d(e))}{a}}}, & v \in V^S(\Gamma)^{(0)}, \\ \frac{u}{d(v)}, & v \in V^I(\Gamma)^{(0)}, \\ 1, & v \in V^{I,I}(\Gamma)^{(0)}, \\ \frac{1}{\frac{\gcd(a,d(e_1))}{d(e_1)} + \frac{\gcd(a,d(e_2))}{d(e_2)}} (\frac{u}{a})^{-1+\delta_{0,\langle \frac{d(e_1)}{a} \rangle}}, & v \in V^{II}(\Gamma)^{(0)}, \\ & (v,e_1),(v,e_2) \in F(\Gamma), \end{cases} \\
A_e \quad &= \quad \frac{d(e)^{[\frac{d(e)}{a}]}}{[\frac{d(e)}{a}]!} u^{-[\frac{d(e)}{a}]}.
\end{aligned}$$

4.2.2. *The target is* $\mathbb{P}^1_a[m]$, $m > 0$. A similar computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{e_T(\tilde{B}_1)}{e_T(\tilde{B}_4)} \quad = \quad & \prod_{v\in V^I(\Gamma)^{(0)}} \frac{u}{d(v)} \prod_{v\in V^{II}(\Gamma)^{(0)}} \left(\sum_{(v,e)\in F(\Gamma)} \frac{\gcd(a,d(e))u}{ad(e)} \right)^{-1} \\
& \cdot \prod_{v\in V^S(\Gamma)^{(0)}} \left(\prod_{(v,e)\in F(\Gamma)} \frac{1}{(\frac{u}{d(e)} - \bar{\psi}_{(v,e)})^{\frac{\gcd(a,d(e))}{a}}} \right) \prod_{v\in V(\Gamma)^{(1)}} \left(\prod_{(v,e)\in F(\Gamma)} \frac{1}{\frac{-u}{d(e)} - \psi_{(v,e)}} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

For $\frac{e_T(\tilde{B}_5)}{e_T(\tilde{B}_2)}$, we also have a similar long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned}
0 \quad \to \quad & H^0(C, \tilde{f}^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1_a}(p_0)) \\
\to \quad & \bigoplus_{v\in V^S(\Gamma)^{(0)}} H^0(C_v, (\tilde{f}|_{C_v})^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1_a}(p_0)) \oplus \bigoplus_{v\in V(\Gamma)^{(1)}} H^0(C_v, \mathcal{O}_{C_v}) \otimes (0) \oplus \bigoplus_{e\in E(\Gamma)} \left(\bigoplus_{l=0}^{[\frac{d(e)}{a}]} \left(\frac{l}{d(e)} \right) \right) \\
\to \quad & \bigoplus_{v\in V^{II}(\Gamma)^{(0)}} H^0(q_v, (\tilde{f}|_{q_v})^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1_a}(p_0)) \oplus \bigoplus_{v\in V^S(\Gamma)^{(0)}} \left(\bigoplus_{(v,e)\in F} H^0(q_{(v,e)}, (\tilde{f}|_{q_{(v,e)}})^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1_a}(p_0)) \right) \oplus \\
& \bigoplus_{v\in V(\Gamma)^{(1)}} \left(\bigoplus_{(v,e)\in F} (0) \right) \\
\to \quad & H^1(C, \tilde{f}^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1_a}(p_0)) \\
\to \quad & \bigoplus_{v\in V^S(\Gamma)^{(0)}} H^1(C_v, (\tilde{f}|_{C_v})^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1_a}(p_0)) \oplus \bigoplus_{v\in V(\Gamma)^{(1)}} H^1(C_v, \mathcal{O}_{C_v}) \otimes (0) \to 0
\end{aligned}$$

So $\frac{e_T(\tilde{B}_5)}{e_T(\tilde{B}_2)}$ has the same expression as in the previous case. Finally,

$$\tilde{B}_6 = \left(T_{p_1^{(0)}}\mathbb{P}^1_{(0)} \otimes T_{p_1^{(0)}}\mathbb{P}^1_{(1)} \right)^{|E(\Gamma)|-1},$$

so

$$e_T(\tilde{B}_6) = (-u - \psi^0)^{|E(\Gamma)|-1},$$

where ψ^0 is the target ψ class as in section 3.3. Note that $\psi^0 = d(e)\psi_{(v,e)}$ for $v \in V(\Gamma)^{(1)}$, $(v,e) \in F$.

Therefore, we have the following Feynman rules:

$$\frac{1}{e_T(N_\Gamma^{\mathrm{vir}})} = \frac{1}{-u-\psi^0} \prod_{v\in V(\Gamma)} A_v \prod_{e\in E(\Gamma)} A_e,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
A_v \quad &= \quad \begin{cases}
\prod_{(v,e)\in F(\Gamma)} \frac{-u-\psi^0}{\frac{-u}{d(e)}-\psi_{(v,e)}} = \prod_{(v,e)\in F(\Gamma)} d(e), & v \in V(\Gamma)^{(1)} \\
\Lambda^{\vee,U}_{g(v)}(\frac{u}{a})(\frac{u}{a})^{-\delta_v} \prod_{(v,e)\in F(\Gamma)} \frac{(\frac{u}{a})^{\delta_{0,\langle \frac{d(e)}{a} \rangle}}}{(\frac{u}{d(e)}-\bar{\psi}_{(v,e)})^{\frac{\gcd(a,d(e))}{a}}}, & v \in V^S(\Gamma)^{(0)}, \\
\frac{u}{d(v)}, & v \in V^I(\Gamma)^{(0)}, \\
1, & v \in V^{I,I}(\Gamma)^{(0)}, \\
\frac{1}{\frac{\gcd(a,d(e_1))}{d(e_1)}+\frac{\gcd(a,d(e_2))}{d(e_2)}}(\frac{u}{a})^{-1+\delta_{0,\langle \frac{d(e_1)}{a} \rangle}}, & v \in V^{II}(\Gamma)^{(0)}, \\
 & (v,e_1),(v,e_2) \in F(\Gamma),
\end{cases} \\
A_e \quad &= \quad \frac{d(e)^{[\frac{d(e)}{a}]}}{[\frac{d(e)}{a}]!} u^{-[\frac{d(e)}{a}]}.
\end{aligned}$$

4.3. **The bundle V_D.** The short exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_C(-D) \to \mathcal{O}_C \to \mathcal{O}_D \to 0$$

gives rise to a long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\to H^0(C,\mathcal{O}_C(-D)) \to H^0(C,\mathcal{O}_C) \to \bigoplus_{i=1}^{l(\mu)} \mathcal{O}_{y_i} \\ &\to H^1(C,\mathcal{O}_C(-D)) \to H^1(C,\mathcal{O}_C) \to 0 \end{aligned}$$

The representations of $\mathbb{C}^*$ are

$$0 \to \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k}(\tau) \to \bigoplus_{i=1}^{l(\mu)}(\tau) \to H^1(C,\mathcal{O}_C(-D))\otimes(\tau) \to H^1(C,\mathcal{O}_C)\otimes(\tau) \to 0.$$

where k is the number of connected components of C. So

$$e_T(V_D) = e_T(V_0)(\tau u)^{l(\mu)-k},$$

where

$$V_0 = R^1\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}}.$$

4.3.1. *The target is* $\mathbb{P}^1_a$. In this case, the number of connected components of C is $|V(\Gamma)^0|$. Consider the normalization sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_C \to \bigoplus_{v\in V(\Gamma)^0} \mathcal{O}_{C_v} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{l(\mu)} \mathcal{O}_{C_{e_i}} \to \bigoplus_{i=1}^{l(\mu)} \mathcal{O}_{q_i} \to 0.$$

The corresponding $\mathbb{C}^*$-representation of the long exact sequence reads

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\to \bigoplus_{v\in V(\Gamma)^0}(\tau) \to \bigoplus_{v\in V(\Gamma)^0}(\tau) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{l(\mu)}(\tau) \to \bigoplus_{i=1}^{l(\mu)}(\tau) \\ &\to H^1(C,\mathcal{O}_C)\otimes(\tau) \to \bigoplus_{v\in V(\Gamma)^0} H^1(C_v,\mathcal{O}_{C_v})\otimes(\tau) \to 0. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$i_\Gamma^* e_T(V_0) = \prod_{v\in V(\Gamma)^0} \Lambda^{\vee,1}_{g(v)}(\tau u)$$

where 1 denotes the trivial representation of $\mathbb{Z}_a$.

Therefore, we have

$$i_\Gamma^* e_T(V_D) = \prod_{v\in V(\Gamma)^0} A_v^D,$$

where

$$A_v^D = \Lambda^{\vee,1}_{g(v)}(\tau u)\cdot(\tau u)^{\mathrm{val}(v)-1}.$$

4.3.2. *The target is* $\mathbb{P}^1_a[m]$, $m>0$. Consider the normalization sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\to \mathcal{O}_C \to \bigoplus_{v\in V^S(\Gamma)^{(0)}\cup V(\Gamma)^{(1)}} \mathcal{O}_{C_v} \oplus \bigoplus_{e\in E(\Gamma)} \mathcal{O}_{C_e} \\ &\to \bigoplus_{v\in V^{II}(\Gamma)^{(0)}} \mathcal{O}_{q_v} \oplus \bigoplus_{v\in V^S(\Gamma)^{(0)}\cup V(\Gamma)^{(1)}} \left(\bigoplus_{(v,e)\in F} \mathcal{O}_{q_{(v,e)}}\right) \to 0. \end{aligned}$$

The corresponding $\mathbb{C}^*$-representation of the long exact sequence reads

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\to \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k}(\tau) \to \bigoplus_{v\in V^S(\Gamma)^{(0)}\cup V(\Gamma)^{(1)}}(\tau) \oplus \bigoplus_{e\in E(\Gamma)}(\tau) \\
&\to \bigoplus_{v\in V^{II}(\Gamma)^{(0)}}(\tau) \oplus \bigoplus_{v\in V^S(\Gamma)^{(0)}\cup V(\Gamma)^{(1)}} \left(\bigoplus_{(v,e)\in F}(\tau)\right) \\
&\to H^1(C,\mathcal{O}_C)\otimes(\tau) \to \bigoplus_{v\in V^S(\Gamma)^{(0)}\cup V(\Gamma)^{(1)}} H^1(C_v,\mathcal{O}_{C_v})\otimes(\tau) \to 0
\end{aligned}$$

where k is the number of connected components of C. So

$$\begin{aligned}
i_\Gamma^* e_T(V_0) &= (\tau u)^{|E(\Gamma)|-|V(\Gamma)|+k} \prod_{v\in V(\Gamma)} \Lambda^{\vee,1}_{g(v)}(\tau u) \\
i_\Gamma^* e_T(V_D) &= (\tau u)^{|E(\Gamma)|-|V(\Gamma)|+l(\mu)} \prod_{v\in V(\Gamma)} \Lambda^{\vee,1}_{g(v)}(\tau u)
\end{aligned}$$

We have the following Feynman rules:

$$i_\Gamma^* e_T(V_D) = \prod_{v\in V(\Gamma)} A_v^D,$$

where

$$A_v^D = \begin{cases} \Lambda^{\vee,1}_{g(v)}(\tau u)\cdot(\tau u)^{\mathrm{val}(v)-1}, & v\in V(\Gamma)^{(0)}, \\ \Lambda^{\vee,1}_{g(v)}(\tau u)\cdot(\tau u)^{l(\mu(v))-1} & v\in V(\Gamma)^{(1)}, \end{cases}$$

4.4. **The bundle V_{D_d}.**

4.4.1. *The target is* $\mathbb{P}^1_a$. In this case, $k=|V(\Gamma)^0|$. Consider the normalization sequence

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\to \tilde{f}^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1_a}(-p_0) \to \bigoplus_{v\in V^S(\Gamma)^{(0)}} (\tilde{f}|_{C_v})^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1_a}(-p_0) \oplus \bigoplus_{e\in E(\Gamma)} (\tilde{f}|_{C_e})^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1_a}(-p_0) \\
&\to \bigoplus_{v\in V^{II}(\Gamma)^{(0)}} (\tilde{f}|_{q_v})^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1_a}(-p_0) \oplus \bigoplus_{v\in V^S(\Gamma)^{(0)}} \left(\bigoplus_{(v,e)\in F} (\tilde{f}|_{q_{(v,e)}})^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1_a}(-p_0)\right) \to 0.
\end{aligned}$$

The corresponding long exact sequence reads

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\to H^0(C,\tilde{f}^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1_a}(-p_0)) \to \bigoplus_{v\in V^S(\Gamma)^{(0)}} H^0(C_v,(\tilde{f}|_{C_v})^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1_a}(-p_0)) \\
&\to \bigoplus_{v\in V^{II}(\Gamma)^{(0)}} H^0(q_v,(\tilde{f}|_{q_v})^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1_a}(-p_0)) \oplus \bigoplus_{v\in V^S(\Gamma)^{(0)}} \left(\bigoplus_{(v,e)\in F} H^0(q_{(v,e)},(\tilde{f}|_{q_{(v,e)}})^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1_a}(-p_0))\right) \\
&\to H^1(C,\tilde{f}^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1_a}(-p_0)) \\
&\to \bigoplus_{v\in V^S(\Gamma)^{(0)}} H^1(C_v,(\tilde{f}|_{C_v})^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1_a}(-p_0)) \oplus \bigoplus_{e\in E(\Gamma)} \left(\bigoplus_{l=1}^{[\frac{d(e)}{a}]-\delta_{0,\langle\frac{d(e_1)}{a}\rangle}} \left(-\tau-\frac{l}{d(e)}\right)\right) \to 0
\end{aligned}$$

We have the following Feynman rules:

$$i_\Gamma^* e_T(V_{D_d}) = \prod_v A_v^{D_d} \cdot \prod_{e\in E(\Gamma)} A_e^{D_d},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
A_v &= \begin{cases} \Lambda_{g(v)}^{\vee,U^\vee}((-\tau-\frac{1}{a})u)((-\tau-\frac{1}{a})u)^{-\delta_v} & \\ \prod_{(v,e)\in F(\Gamma)}((-\tau-\frac{1}{a})u)^{\delta_{0,\langle\frac{d(e)}{a}\rangle}}, & v\in V^S(\Gamma)^{(0)}, \\ 1, & v\in V^I(\Gamma)^{(0)}, \\ 1, & v\in V^{I,I}(\Gamma)^{(0)}, \\ \left((-\tau-\frac{1}{a})u\right)^{\delta_{0,\langle\frac{d(e_1)}{a}\rangle}}, & v\in V^{II}(\Gamma)^{(0)}, (v,e_1), \in F(\Gamma), \end{cases} \\
A_e &= \frac{\prod_{l=1}^{[\frac{d(e)}{a}]-\delta_{0,\langle\frac{d(e_1)}{a}\rangle}}(d(e)\tau+l)}{d(e)^{[\frac{d(e)}{a}]-\delta_{0,\langle\frac{d(e_1)}{a}\rangle}}}(-u)^{[\frac{d(e)}{a}]-\delta_{0,\langle\frac{d(e_1)}{a}\rangle}}.
\end{aligned}$$

4.4.2. *The target is* $\mathbb{P}_a^1[m]$, $m>0$. We have a similar long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned}
0 \quad \to \quad & H^0(C,\tilde{f}^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_a^1}(-p_0)) \\
\to \quad & \bigoplus_{v\in V^S(\Gamma)^{(0)}} H^0(C_v,(\tilde{f}|_{C_v})^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_a^1}(-p_0)) \oplus \bigoplus_{v\in V(\Gamma)^{(1)}} H^0(C_v,\mathcal{O}_{C_v})\otimes(-\tau) \\
\to \quad & \bigoplus_{v\in V^{II}(\Gamma)^{(0)}} H^0(q_v,(\tilde{f}|_{q_v})^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_a^1}(-p_0)) \oplus \bigoplus_{v\in V^S(\Gamma)^{(0)}} \left(\bigoplus_{(v,e)\in F} H^0(q_{(v,e)},(\tilde{f}|_{q_{(v,e)}})^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_a^1}(-p_0))\right) \\
& \oplus \bigoplus_{v\in V(\Gamma)^{(1)}} \left(\bigoplus_{(v,e)\in F}(-\tau)\right) \\
\to \quad & H^1(C,\tilde{f}^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_a^1}(-p_0)) \\
\to \quad & \bigoplus_{v\in V^S(\Gamma)^{(0)}} H^1(C_v,(\tilde{f}|_{C_v})^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_a^1}(-p_0)) \oplus \bigoplus_{v\in V(\Gamma)^{(1)}} H^1(C_v,\mathcal{O}_{C_v})\otimes(-\tau) \\
& \oplus \bigoplus_{e\in E(\Gamma)} \left(\bigoplus_{l=1}^{[\frac{d(e)}{a}]-\delta_{0,\langle\frac{d(e_1)}{a}\rangle}} \left(-\tau-\frac{l}{d(e)}\right)\right) \to 0
\end{aligned}$$

So we have the following Feynman rules:

$$i_\Gamma^* e_T(V_{D_d}) = \prod_v A_v^{D_d} \cdot \prod_{e\in E(\Gamma)} A_e^{D_d},$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_v &= \begin{cases}
\Lambda^{\vee,U^\vee}_{g(v)}((-\tau-\frac{1}{a})u)((-\tau-\frac{1}{a})u)^{-\delta_v} & \\
\prod_{(v,e)\in F(\Gamma)}((-\tau-\frac{1}{a})u)^{\delta_{0,\langle\frac{d(e)}{a}\rangle}}, & v\in V^S(\Gamma)^{(0)},\\
1, & v\in V^I(\Gamma)^{(0)},\\
1, & v\in V^{I,I}(\Gamma)^{(0)},\\
\big((-\tau-\frac{1}{a})u\big)^{\delta_{0,\langle\frac{d(e_1)}{a}\rangle}}, & v\in V^{II}(\Gamma)^{(0)},(v,e_1),\in F(\Gamma),\\
\Lambda^{\vee,1}_{g(v)}(-\tau u)\cdot(-\tau u)^{\mathrm{val}(v)-1}, & v\in V(\Gamma)^{(1)},
\end{cases}\\
A_e &= \frac{\prod_{l=1}^{[\frac{d(e)}{a}]-\delta_{0,\langle\frac{d(e_1)}{a}\rangle}}(d(e)\tau+l)}{d(e)^{[\frac{d(e)}{a}]-\delta_{0,\langle\frac{d(e_1)}{a}\rangle}}}(-u)^{[\frac{d(e)}{a}]-\delta_{0,\langle\frac{d(e_1)}{a}\rangle}}.
\end{aligned}
$$

4.5. **Contribution from each graph.** By Mumford's relation [5], we have

$$\Lambda^{\vee,1}_{g(v)}(-\tau u)\Lambda^{\vee,1}_{g(v)}(\tau u)=(-1)^{g(v)}(\tau u)^{2g(v)}, v\in V(\Gamma)^{(1)}$$

So we have

$$\frac{i_\Gamma^* e_T(V)}{e_T(N_\Gamma^{\mathrm{vir}})}=A^0A^1$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
A^0 &= a_\nu\sqrt{-1}^{l(\nu)-|\nu|}\prod_{i=1}^{l(\nu)}\frac{\prod_{l=1}^{[\frac{\nu_i}{a}]}(\nu_i\tau+l)}{[\frac{\nu_i}{a}]!(u-\nu_i\bar{\psi}_i)\frac{\gcd(\nu_i,a)}{a}}\\
&\cdot\prod_{v\in V(\Gamma)^{(0)}}(-1)^{\sum_{(v,e)\in F(\Gamma)}[\frac{d(e)}{a}]-\delta_v}\Lambda^{\vee,U}_{g(v)}(\frac{u}{a})\Lambda^{\vee,U^\vee}_{g(v)}((-\tau-\frac{1}{a})u)\Lambda^{\vee,1}_{g(v)}(\tau u)\\
&\cdot\left(\frac{u}{a}\right)^{\sum_{(v,e)\in F(\Gamma)}\delta_{0,\langle\frac{d(e)}{a}\rangle}-\delta_v}\left((\tau+\frac{1}{a})u\right)^{-\delta_v}(\tau u)^{\mathrm{val}(v)-1}\\
A^1 &= \begin{cases}
\sqrt{-1}^{|\mu|-l(\mu)}, & \text{the target is } \mathbb{P}^1_a\\
\sqrt{-1}^{|\nu|-l(\mu)}a_\nu\frac{(\sqrt{-1}\tau u)^{-\chi^1+l(\mu)+l(\nu)}}{-u-\psi^0}, & \text{the target is } \mathbb{P}^1_a[m], m>0
\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

4.6. **Proof of Theorem 2.**

$$
\begin{aligned}
&K^\bullet_{\chi,\mu,\gamma}\\
=\;&\frac{1}{|\operatorname{Aut}(\mu)||\operatorname{Aut}(\gamma)|}\int_{[\overline{\mathcal{M}}^\bullet_{\chi,\gamma}(\mathbb{P}^1_a,\mu)]^{\mathrm{vir}}}e(V)\\
=\;&\frac{1}{|\operatorname{Aut}(\mu)||\operatorname{Aut}(\gamma)|}\sum_{\chi^0+\chi^1-2l(v)=\chi}\frac{1}{|\operatorname{Aut}(\nu)|}\prod_{i=1}^{l(\nu)}\frac{1}{\nu_i}\frac{a}{\frac{a}{\gcd(a,\nu_i)}}\\
&\cdot\int_{[\overline{\mathcal{M}}^\bullet_{\chi^0,\gamma-\nu}(\mathcal{B}\mathbb{Z}_a)\times\overline{\mathcal{M}}^\bullet_{\chi^1}(\mathbb{P}^1,\nu,\mu)//\mathbb{C}^*]^{\mathrm{vir}}}\frac{i_\Gamma^* e_T(V)}{e_T(N_\Gamma^{\mathrm{vir}})}\\
=\;&\sqrt{-1}^{|\nu|-l(\mu)}\left(\sum_{\chi^0+\chi^1-2l(v)=\chi}G^\bullet_{\chi^0,\nu,\gamma}(\tau)_a\cdot z_\nu\frac{(-\sqrt{-1}\tau)^{-\chi^1+l(\nu)+l(\mu)}}{(-\chi^1+l(\nu)+l(\mu))!}H^\bullet_{\chi^1,\nu,\mu}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Recall that

$$K^\bullet_\mu(\lambda;x)=\sqrt{-1}^{l(\mu)-d}\sum_\chi\lambda^{-\chi+l(\mu)}x_\gamma K^\bullet_{\chi,\mu,\gamma}.$$

We have

$$K^{\bullet}_{\mu}(\lambda;x)=\sum_{|\nu|=|\mu|}G^{\bullet}_{\nu}(\lambda;\tau;x)_a z_{\nu}\Phi^{\bullet}_{\nu,\mu}(-\sqrt{-1}\tau\lambda)$$

This finishes the proof of Theorem 2.

In the proof of Theorem 2, we used the following convention for the unstable integrals

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,(0)}(\mathcal{B}\mathbb{Z}_a)}\frac{1}{1-d\bar{\psi}} &= \frac{1}{ad^2}\\ \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,(c,-c)}(\mathcal{B}\mathbb{Z}_a)}\frac{1}{(1-\mu_1\bar{\psi}_1)(1-\mu_2\bar{\psi}_2)} &= \frac{1}{a(\mu_1+\mu_2)}\\ \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,(c,-c)}(\mathcal{B}\mathbb{Z}_a)}\frac{1}{(1-d\bar{\psi}_1)}=\frac{1}{ad}\end{aligned}$$

4.7. **Abelian groups.** We generalize the Mariño-Vafa formula to arbitrary finite abelian groups. Let G be an finite abelian group and let R be an irreducible representation

$$\phi^R: G\to\mathbb{C}^*$$

with associated short exact sequence

$$0\to K\to G\overset{\phi^R}{\to}\mathrm{Im}(\phi^R)\cong\mathbb{Z}_a\to 0$$

Then ϕ^R induces a morphism

$$\rho:\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma}(\mathcal{B}G)\to\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\phi^R(\gamma)}(\mathcal{B}\mathbb{Z}_a)$$

The following results are shown in [14]

Lemma 4.1.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}^R &\cong \rho^*(\mathbb{E}^U)\\ deg(\rho) &= \begin{cases}0, & \sum_{i=1}^n\gamma_i\neq 0\\ |K|^{2g-1} & \sum_{i=1}^n\gamma_i=0\end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbb{E}^R$ (resp. $\mathbb{E}^U$) denotes the Hodge bundle on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma}(\mathcal{B}G)$ (resp. $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma}(\mathcal{B}\mathbb{Z}_a)$) corresponding to the representation R (resp. U).

Let $\gamma=(\gamma_1,\cdots,\gamma_n)$ be a vector of elements in G such that $\phi^R(\gamma)$ is a vector of *nontrivial* elements in $\mathbb{Z}_a$. Let $\bar{\mu}=(\bar{\mu}_1,\cdots,\bar{\mu}_{l(\bar{\mu})})$ be a vector of elements in G such that

$$\phi^R(\bar{\mu})=\mu$$

Here we view μ as a vector of elements in $\mathbb{Z}_a$. $\bar{\mu}$ is required to satisfy conditions (1), (2) in section 1.2 which imply that $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma-\bar{\mu}}(\mathcal{B}G)$ and $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\phi^R(\gamma)-\mu}(\mathcal{B}\mathbb{Z}_a)$ are both empty or both nonempty. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\gamma-\bar{\mu}}(\mathcal{B}G)}\frac{\left(-\frac{1}{a}(\tau+\frac{1}{a})\right)^{-\delta_K}\Lambda_g^{\vee,R}(\frac{1}{a})\Lambda_g^{\vee,R^\vee}(-\tau-\frac{1}{a})\Lambda_g^{\vee,1}(\tau)}{\prod_{i=1}^{l(\mu)}(1-\mu_i\bar{\psi}_i)}\\ =&\ |K|^{2g-1}\int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\phi^R(\gamma)-\mu}(\mathcal{B}\mathbb{Z}_a)}\frac{\left(-\frac{1}{a}(\tau+\frac{1}{a})\right)^{-\delta}\Lambda_g^{\vee,U}(\frac{1}{a})\Lambda_g^{\vee,U^\vee}(-\tau-\frac{1}{a})\Lambda_g^{\vee,1}(\tau)}{\prod_{i=1}^{l(\mu)}(1-\mu_i\bar{\psi}_i)}\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{g,\mu,\gamma}(\tau)_{a,\phi^R} &= |K|^{2g-1}G_{g,\mu,\phi^R(\gamma)}(\tau)_a \\
G_{\mu,\gamma}(\lambda;\tau)_{a,\phi^R} &= \sum_{g=0}^{\infty}\lambda^{2g-2+l(\mu)}|K|^{2g-1}G_{g,\mu,\phi^R(\gamma)}(\tau)_a, \\
&= \frac{|K|}{|K|^{l(\mu)}}G_{\mu,\phi^R(\gamma)}(|K|\lambda;\tau)_a \\
G_{\gamma}(\lambda;\tau;p)_{a,\phi^R} &= \sum_{\mu\neq\emptyset}\frac{|K|}{|K|^{l(\mu)}}G_{\mu,\phi^R(\gamma)}(|K|\lambda;\tau)_a p_\mu \\
&= |K|G_{\phi^R(\gamma)}(|K|\lambda;\tau;\frac{p_1}{|K|},\frac{p_2}{|K|},\cdots)_a \\
&= |K|R_{\phi^R(\gamma)}(|K|\lambda;\tau;\frac{p_1}{|K|},\frac{p_2}{|K|},\cdots)_a \\
&= |K|R_{\phi^R(\gamma)}(|K|\lambda;\tau;\frac{p}{|K|})_a
\end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof of Theorem 3.

5. APPLICATION TO THE LOCAL GROMOV-WITTEN THEORY OF ORBI-CURVES

5.1. **Definitions and some known facts.** Let $(X, p_1, \cdots, p_r, q_1, \cdots, q_s)$ be a fixed non-singular genus g orbi-curve with stack points $p_1, \cdots, p_r$ of orders $a_1, \cdots, a_r$ and with ordinary points $q_1, \cdots, q_s$. Let $\alpha^1, \cdots, \alpha^s$ be partitions of $d > 0$. Let $\gamma^1, \cdots, \gamma^r$ be vectors of nontrivial elements in $\mathbb{Z}_{a_1}, \cdots, \mathbb{Z}_{a_r}$ respectively. Then there is a corresponding moduli space of relative stable maps

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{\bullet}_{\gamma}(X, (\alpha^1, \cdots, \alpha^s))$$

parameterizing stable maps from possibly disconnected curves to X ramified over q_i with ramification type α^i and with marked points with monodromies $\gamma = \gamma^1 + \cdots + \gamma^r$.

Let

$$\pi : \mathcal{U} \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}^{\bullet}_{\gamma}(X, (\alpha^1, \cdots, \alpha^s))$$

be the universal domain curve and let

$$P : \mathcal{T} \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}^{\bullet}_{\gamma}(X, (\alpha^1, \cdots, \alpha^s))$$

be the universal target. Then there is an evaluation map

$$F : \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{T}$$

Let $\mathcal{Q}$ be the universal prescribed branch divisor and let $\mathcal{D}$ be the universal ramification divisor. Let

$$I(X, \overrightarrow{\alpha}) = R^1\pi_*(F^*(\omega(\mathcal{Q})) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-\mathcal{D})) - R^0\pi_*(F^*(\omega(\mathcal{Q})) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-\mathcal{D}))$$

where ω is the relative dualizing sheaf of P, $\overrightarrow{\alpha} = (\alpha^1, \cdots, \alpha^s)$. Then the *relative local invariants* are defined by

$$Z^{b,\gamma}_d(g)_{\overrightarrow{\alpha},\overrightarrow{\alpha}} = \frac{1}{|\operatorname{Aut}(\gamma)||\operatorname{Aut}(\alpha^1)|\cdots|\operatorname{Aut}(\alpha^s)|}\int_{[\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{\bullet}_{\gamma}(X,\overrightarrow{\alpha})]^{\mathrm{vir}}} c_b(I(X, \overrightarrow{\alpha}))$$

and their corresponding generating functions are defined by

$$Z_d(g)(\lambda;x)_{\overrightarrow{\alpha},\overrightarrow{a}}=\sum_{b,\gamma}Z_d^{b,\gamma}(g)_{\overrightarrow{\alpha},\overrightarrow{a}}\lambda^b x_\gamma.$$

where $\overrightarrow{a}=(a_1,\cdots,a_r)$. The only difference between our definition and the non-orbifold definition in [6] is that there are two additional indices $\overrightarrow{a}$ and γ in our definition. Note that $I(X,\overrightarrow{\alpha})$ has rank b when restricted to the components of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_\gamma^\bullet(X,\overrightarrow{\alpha})$ with virtual dimension b.

We use the notation $\mu\vdash d$ to indicate μ is a partition of d. The following proposition is the orbifold version of the gluing law shown in [6]

Proposition 5.1. *Let* $\overrightarrow{\alpha}=(\alpha^1,\cdots,\alpha^s)$ *and* $\overrightarrow{a}=(a_1,\cdots,a_r)$. *For any choice* $g=g_1+g_2$ *and any splitting*

$$\begin{aligned}\{\alpha^1,\cdots,\alpha^s\}&=\{\alpha^1,\cdots,\alpha^k\}\cup\{\alpha^{k+1},\cdots,\alpha^s\}\\ \{a_1,\cdots,a_r\}&=\{a_1,\cdots,a_l\}\cup\{a_{l+1},\cdots,a_r\}\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$Z_d(g)(\lambda;x)_{\overrightarrow{\alpha},\overrightarrow{a}}=\sum_{\mu\vdash d}z_\mu Z_d(g_1)(\lambda;x)_{(\alpha^1,\cdots,\alpha^k,\mu),(a_1,\cdots,a_l)}Z_d(g_2)(\lambda;x)_{(\alpha^{k+1},\cdots,\alpha^s,\mu),(a_{l+1},\cdots,a_r)}$$

The only difference between Proposition 5.1 and the gluing law in [6] is that there is an additional splitting of $\overrightarrow{a}=(a_1,\cdots,a_r)$. The proof of Proposition 5.1 is the same as that of the gluing law in [6].

5.2. **Calculation of $Z_d(0)(\lambda;x)_{(\mu),(a)}$.** In [7], the local Gromov-Witten theory of ordinary curves is solved even without imposing the Calabi-Yau condition on the obstruction bundle. So in order to determine the relative local invariants of all $(X,p_1,\cdots,p_r,q_1,\cdots,q_s)$, we only need to calculate $Z_d(0)(\lambda;x)_{(\mu),(a)}$ because of the gluing law.

Recall that we have defined

$$K^\bullet_{\chi,\mu,\gamma}=\frac{1}{|\operatorname{Aut}(\mu)||\operatorname{Aut}(\gamma)|}\int_{[\overline{\mathcal{M}}^\bullet_{\chi,\gamma}(\mathbb{P}^1_a,\mu)]^{\mathrm{vir}}}e(V)$$

with V the obstruction bundle and the generating function

$$K^\bullet_\mu(\lambda;x)=\sqrt{-1}^{l(\mu)-d}\sum_{\chi,\gamma}\lambda^{-\chi+l(\mu)}x_\gamma K^\bullet_{\chi,\mu,\gamma}$$

So we have

$$K^\bullet_{\chi,\mu,\gamma}=Z_d^{b,\gamma}(0)_{(\mu),(a)}$$

where $b=-\chi+n+l(\mu)+\frac{d}{a}-\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{\gamma_i}{a}$ and $n=l(\gamma)$. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda^{-\frac{d}{a}}Z_d(0)(\lambda;\lambda^{\frac{1}{a}-1}x_1,\cdots,\lambda^{\frac{a-1}{a}-1}x_{a-1})_{(\mu),(a)}&=\sum_{b,\gamma}Z_d^{b,\gamma}(0)_{(\mu),(a)}\lambda^{-\chi+l(\mu)}x_\gamma\\&=\sum_{\chi,\gamma}K^\bullet_{\chi,\mu,\gamma}\lambda^{-\chi+l(\mu)}x_\gamma\\&=\sqrt{-1}^{d-l(\mu)}K^\bullet_\mu(\lambda;x)\end{aligned}$$

Recall that

$$K^\bullet_\mu(\lambda;x)=G^\bullet_\mu(\lambda;0;x)_a=(q^{\frac{1}{2}}q_1^{-\frac{1}{a}}\cdots q_{a-1}^{-\frac{a-1}{a}})^{|\mu|}\sum_{|\nu|=|\mu|}s_{\nu'}(-q_\bullet)\frac{\chi_\nu(\mu)}{z_\mu}$$

where the change of variables is given in Theorem 2.1. Therefore, we obtain the expression for $Z_d(0)(\lambda;x)_{(\mu),(a)}$:

$$\lambda^{-\frac{d}{a}} Z_d(0)(\lambda; \lambda^{\frac{1}{a}-1}x_1, \cdots, \lambda^{\frac{a-1}{a}-1}x_{a-1})_{(\mu),(a)} = \sqrt{-1}^{d-l(\mu)} (q^{\frac{1}{2}} q_1^{-\frac{1}{a}} \cdots q_{a-1}^{-\frac{a-1}{a}})^{|\mu|} \sum_{|\nu|=|\mu|} s_{\nu'}(-q_\bullet) \frac{\chi_\nu(\mu)}{z_\mu}$$

This finishes the proof of Theorem 4.

References

[1] D. Abramovich, T. Graber, A. Vistoli, *Gromov-Witten theory for Deligne-Mumford stacks*, Amer. J. Math. 130 (2008), no. 5, 1337-1398.

[2] M. Aganagic, A. Klemm, M. Marino, C. Vafa, *The topological vertex*, Comm. Math. Phys. 254 (2005), no. 2, 425-478.

[3] J. Bryan, private communication.

[4] J. Bryan, C. Cadman, B. Young, *The orbifold topological vertex*, Advances in Mathematics 229 (2012), no. 1, 531-595.

[5] J. Bryan, T. Graber, R. Pandharipande, *The orbifold quantum cohomology of $\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_3$ and Hurwitz-Hodge integrals*, J. Alg. Geom. **17** (2008), 1-28.

[6] J. Bryan, R. Pandharipande, *Curves in Calabi-Yau 3-folds and topological quantum field theory*, Duke J. **126** (2005), 369-396.

[7] J. Bryan, R. Pandharipande, *The local Gromov-Witten theory of curves*, JAMS **21** (2008), 101-136.

[8] C. Cadman, *Using stacks to impose tangency conditions on curves*, Amer. J. Math. **129** (2007), 405-427.

[9] W. Chen, Y. Ruan, *Orbifold Gromov-Witten theory*, Orbifold in mathematics and physics (Madison, WI, 2001), 2002.

[10] R. Dijkgraaf, Mirror symmetry and elliptic curves, *The moduli space of curves*, R. Dijkgraaf, G. van der Geer (editors), Prog. in Math., **129**, Birkhaüser, 1995.

[11] C. Faber, R. Pandharipande, *Hodge integrals and Gromov-Witten theory*, Invent. Math. **139** (2000), no. 1, 173–199.

[12] T. Graber, R. Pandharipande, *Localization of virtual classes*, Invent. Math. **135** (1999), no. 2, 487–518.

[13] P. Johnson, *Equivariant Gromov-Witten theory of one dimensional stacks*, PhD thesis, 2009.

[14] P. Johnson, R. Pandharipande, H.-H. Tseng, *Abelian Hurwitz-Hodge integrals*, Michigan Math. J. 60 (2011), no. 1, 171-198.

[15] P. Johnson, R. Pandharipande, H.-H. Tseng, *Notes on local $\mathbb{P}^1$-orbifolds*, preprint.

[16] J. Li, *Stable Morphisms to singular schemes and relative stable morphisms*, J. Diff. Geom. **57** (2001), 509-578.

[17] J. Li, *Relative Gromov-Witten invariants and a degeneration formula of Gromov-Witten invariants*, J. Diff. Geom. **60** (2002), 199-293.

[18] J. Li, C.-C. Liu, K. Liu, J. Zhou, *A mathematical theory of the topological vertex*, Geom. Topol., 13:527, 2009.

[19] C.-C. Liu, *Formulae of one-partition and two-partition Hodge integrals*, Geometry and Topology Monographs **8** (2006), 105-128.

[20] C.-C. Liu, *Localization in Gromov-Witten theory and orbifold Gromov-Witten theory* arxiv: 1107.4712v3.

[21] C.-C. Liu, K. Liu, J. Zhou, *A proof of a conjecture of Mariño-Vafa on Hodge integrals*, J. Differential Geom. **65** (2003), no. 2, 289-340.

[22] C.-C. Liu, K. Liu, J. Zhou, *A formula of two-parition Hodge integrals*, J. Amer. Math. Soc., **20(1)** (2007), 149-184.

[23] C.-C. Liu, K. Liu, J. Zhou, *Mariño-Vafa formula and Hodge integral indentities*, J. Alg. Geom. **15** (2006), 379-398.

[24] C.-C. Liu, K. Liu, J. Zhou, *On a proof of a conjecture of Mariño-Vafa on Hodge integrals*, Math. Res. Lett. **11** (2004), 259-272.

[25] I. G. Macdonald, *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs. The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, New York, second edition, 1995. With contributions by A. Zelevinsky, Oxford Science Publications.

[26] M. Mariño, C. Vafa, *Framed knots at large N*, Orbifolds in mathematics and physics (Madison, WI, 2001), 185–204, Contemp. Math., 310, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002.

[27] D. Maulik, N. Nekrasov, A. Okounkov, R. Pandharipande, *Gromov-Witten theory and Donaldson-Thomas theory, I*, Compos. Math., 142(5):1263-1285, 2006.

[28] D. Maulik, N. Nekrasov, A. Okounkov, R. Pandharipande, *Gromov-Witten theory and Donaldson-Thomas theory, II*, Compos. Math., 142(5):1286-1304, 2006.

[29] D. Maulik, A. Oblomkov, A. Okounkov, R. Pandharipande, *Gromov-Witten/Donaldson-Thomas correspondence for toric 3-folds*, Invent. Math. 186 (2011), no. 2, 435C479.

[30] D. Mumford, *Towards an enumerative geometry of the moduli space of curves*, Arithmetic and Geometry (M. Artin, J. Tate, eds.), Part II, Birkhäuser, 1983, 271-328.

[31] A. Okounkov, R. Pandharipande, *Hodge integrals and invariants of the unknots*, Geom. Topol. **8** (2004), 675-699.

[32] A. Okounkov, C. Vafa, *Quantum Calabi-Yau and classical crystals*, The unity of mathematics, 597-618, Progr. Math., 244, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2006.

[33] D. Ross, *Localization and gluing of orbifold amplitudes: the Gromov-Witten orbifold vertex*, arXiv: 1109.5995v3.

[34] J. Zhou, *Hodge integrals, Hurwitz numbers, and symmetric groups*, arXiv: math. AG/0308024

Department of Mathematics, Columbia University, New York, NY 10027, USA
E-mail address: `zz2197@math.columbia.edu`